

University of Houston
College of Liberal Arts and Social Sciences
Instructor/Course Evaluation
Spring 2008

ECON 2304--19916--Kumar		Relative Frequency						Section		Department			College		
<i>Section I</i>	Number of Responses	Strongly Agree (5)	Agree (4)	Neutral (3)	Disagree (2)	Strongly Disagree (1)	NA	Mean *	SD	N	Mean*	SD	N	Mean*	SD
1. The instructor provided a syllabus and reviewed course expectations at the beginning of the semester.	60	86.7%	13.3%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	.	4.87	0.34	1085	4.61	0.66	22305	4.67	0.61
2. The instructor presented the course in a clear, logical and organized manner.	60	76.7%	16.7%	6.7%	0.0%	0.0%	.	4.70	0.59	1084	4.26	0.94	22326	4.44	0.84
3. The instructor encouraged student participation, questions, and discussions as the class size allowed.	60	63.3%	23.3%	13.3%	0.0%	0.0%	.	4.50	0.72	1083	4.35	0.88	22218	4.55	0.74
4. The instructor encouraged critical thinking and evaluation of ideas and evidence.	60	56.7%	30.0%	13.3%	0.0%	0.0%	.	4.43	0.72	1083	4.31	0.88	22246	4.49	0.78
5. The instructor was well prepared for class.	60	73.3%	23.3%	3.3%	0.0%	0.0%	.	4.70	0.53	1081	4.45	0.73	22272	4.54	0.73
6. Tests, examinations, projects, papers, or creative activities reflected materials emphasized in the course.	60	75.0%	23.3%	1.7%	0.0%	0.0%	.	4.73	0.48	1083	4.32	0.88	22069	4.48	0.81
7. The grading practices were clear, consistently followed and fair.	60	80.0%	18.3%	1.7%	0.0%	0.0%	.	4.78	0.45	1081	4.39	0.81	22114	4.36	0.92
8. The instructor evaluated exams, quizzes, papers or creative activities in a timely manner.	60	83.3%	11.7%	5.0%	0.0%	0.0%	.	4.78	0.52	1078	4.49	0.73	21761	4.43	0.82
9. The teaching assistant was receptive to questions.	31	74.2%	6.5%	19.4%	0.0%	0.0%	29	4.55	0.81	544	4.07	1.03	10721	4.21	1.00
10. The teaching assistant was available for assistance to students.	31	71.0%	9.7%	19.4%	0.0%	0.0%	29	4.52	0.81	534	4.04	1.06	10463	4.17	1.02
<i>Section II</i>	Number of Responses	Outstanding (5)	Above average (4)	Average (3)	Below average (2)	Poor (1)		Mean *	SD	N	Mean*	SD	N**	Mean**	SD
11. The overall teaching effectiveness of this instructor is	60	51.7%	20.0%	28.3%	0.0%	0.0%		4.23	0.87	1084	4.09	0.94	22495	4.28	0.90
12. The overall quality of this course is	60	58.3%	11.7%	30.0%	0.0%	0.0%		4.28	0.90	1083	3.98	0.96	22494	4.18	0.93
13. The instructor's availability for individual assistance is	60	51.7%	20.0%	28.3%	0.0%	0.0%		4.23	0.87	1078	4.09	0.92	22377	4.17	0.94
14. The instructor's demonstration of respect for students is	60	76.7%	13.3%	10.0%	0.0%	0.0%		4.67	0.66	1083	4.42	0.79	22395	4.41	0.87

Mean* = 5 point scale, higher scores desirable

N**, Mean** = The college statistic data for items 11 through 14 include Distance Education.