

Complaint #26-34

Petitioner(s): Aniyah Hubbard

Respondent(s): Joshua Sembrano and Lundan

The following allegations were filed March 5, 2026, 10:19 AM:

- **Article 4, Section 1, Clause 1:** "Campaigning is defined as the intentional direct or indirect solicitation of votes, the purposeful bolstering of one's personal brand and/or name, and/or any form of personal, group, or mass advertising initiated by a known and/or prospective candidate or campaign staff member with the purpose of affecting the election outcome."
- **Article 4, Section 1, Clause 2:** "'Active campaigning' or a 'direct solicitation of votes' is defined as an attempt to obtain votes through personal communication or contact. Examples include, but are not limited to, speaking directly with students, sending direct messages, or calling students to ask them to vote for you."
- **Article 4, Section 2, Clause 13:** "Campaigning within the walls or within fifteen (15) feet from the entrances of UH libraries, student centers, recreation and wellness centers, residence halls, dining halls, and/or within any dining establishment owned/operated by the University of Houston is prohibited. This does not protect non-active campaigning once it becomes active campaigning. Non-active campaigning will be classified as active campaigning if a candidate, volunteer, or campaign staff member approaches someone with the intention to solicit votes, draws attention to oneself with the intention to solicit votes, draws attention to other material within the building that is intended to solicit votes, or engages in manners that are not respectful to the people or building and out of the norm to solicit votes."

Decision [03/07/26]: Petitioner's complaint **HAS MERIT** and this **IS** a violation of the Election Code.

Conclusion: The evidence provided (as seen in the previous report images and the details of this filing) confirms that Joshua Sembrano and Lundan were engaged in active campaigning within the restricted 15-foot zone of a university building entrance on March 3rd. The photographs document the candidates engaging in direct solicitation and personal communication with students as they entered and exited the facility. Because the candidates positioned themselves in a narrow pathway of movement

explicitly prohibited by **Article 4, Section 2, Clause 13**, the activity constitutes a clear violation.

Sanction: There is merit. However, due to the submission time of this violation and the time needed to effectively review, no sanction was implemented.

Appeals: n/a

Zainab Khimji

Election Commissioner



