## FY20 SFAC Base Augmentation

## Speech \& Debate

10/11/2018

## Rationale

Growth in interest and participation in Speech \& Debate in the last few years has resulted in the need for sustained and appropriate funding levels. The limited support from the current base allocation will continue to present substantial challenges in budgeting and will create uncertainty about any future initiatives. If these pressures are not resolved, this will continue to limit our ability to sustain program goals and serve more than a limited number of UH students. In contrast, a base augmentation will allow the program to meet our strategic initiatives, expand access to students, and grow the reach of the program.

These two positions are severable, i.e., funding either will serve the strategic goals of the program, though both creates synergy that makes the other more effective.

This level of base funding, in comparison to the FY13 base (UHFS), remains significantly more efficient.

| Expense | Amount | Comment |
| :--- | ---: | :--- |
| Coaching | $\$ 5,000$ | Graduate Assistantship |
| Travel-Speech \& Debate | $\$ 13,800$ | Support for expanded travel |
| Administrative Fee | $\$ 1,200$ |  |
| Total | $\mathbf{\$ 2 0 , 0 0 0}$ |  |

## Coaching

This position would be deployed either to help reboot the speech program, if accompanied by additional money for travel, or for recruitment and training for novice (new) debaters. Either role would be tasked with supporting the Cougar Classic and Houston Debate Mentorship Collaborative. The preferred use would be toward a Graduate Assistantship, taking advantage of local talent while also allowing the funding to do doubleduty for university students.

## Travel Expense

Travel budgeting depends on a number of variables that change depending on the level of competition, distance, and many other factors. On average, costs are lower for smaller regional tournaments and larger nationally competitive tournament tend to be further away. The majority of this money would go toward the cost of registering, housing, and transporting additional team members who are currently denied the opportunity for travel, in particular the opportunity to compete at speech tournaments (dependent on coaching funds) and/or novice and junior varsity nationals at the end of the season. The following chart gives an example of an additional tournament outlay.

The most likely scenario for additional travel is either:
A) Speech Focused: Fund a regionally focused tournament schedule with sufficient funds remaining to attend at least one end-of-the-year national tournament, or:
B) Debate Focused: Adding two regional tournaments plus spending the remainder on adding members to the rosters of already-scheduled tournaments.

## Example Debate Tournament Expense Outlay

| Category | Days | Rate | Units | Sub Total |
| :--- | ---: | :--- | :--- | ---: |
| Lodging | 3 | $\$ 114.00$ |  | 5 |
| Lodging - On Drive | 1 | $\$ 85.00$ | 5 | $\$ 40.00$ |
| Food - Students | 4 | $\$ 15.00$ |  | 9 |
| Food - Garner |  |  |  | $\$ 540.00$ |
| Food - Fan |  |  |  | $\$ 60.00$ |
| Fees |  | $\$ 60.00$ | 14 | $\$ 840.00$ |
| Judging |  | $\$ 240.00$ |  | $\$$ |
| Gas |  |  |  | $\$ 240.00$ |
| Ground Transportation |  |  |  | $\$ 450.00$ |
|  |  |  | Total | $\$ 4,575.00$ |

