SUBJECT: Annual Faculty Performance Review

Purpose
To provide University of Houston College of Medicine (UHCOM) leadership and faculty guidelines and structure surrounding the faculty annual performance review process, for full-time and voluntary/clinical employees. This policy also provides support for intra-year formative reviews between faculty and their supervisor(s).

Background
The performance review is a critical element for promotion and tenure, self-reflection, and professional development. This process ensures that faculty activities are identified, valued, and aligned with the missions of the UHCOM and the University of Houston (UH) System. By providing an environment for intra-year feedback, it encourages faculty and leadership to view performance and goal-setting as a continuous holistic process, and not an isolated activity.

Policy
This policy is relevant to all faculty regardless of specialty area; and includes tenured, tenure-track, and non-tenure track individuals. This policy also pertains to voluntary clinical faculty and preceptors, who are integral facets of the UHCOM educational program and mission.

Annual Performance Review

Full-Time UHCOM Faculty
The assessment will be conducted annually before February 28<sup>th</sup> of the current fiscal year. The faculty member and appropriate supervisor, defined by the organizational structure of the faculty member’s unit within the UHCOM, will complete their respective form(s) (see Table below) prior to an in-person review. Dates provided below are guidelines to assure adequate time is available for this important endeavor. However, faculty and supervisors do have the ability to alter the proposed calendar to match departmental needs, as long as the UH deadline of February 28<sup>th</sup>, is met.

Table: Annual Performance Review Forms

| Form                                           | Completed by                              | Goal                                                                 | Completion Date   |
|------------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------|                                                                     |                   |
| Faculty and Supervisor Reporting Form (Appendix A) | Faculty member and Supervisor            | For the faculty to self-evaluate and the supervisor to provide summative feedback | February 25th     |
| Activity Reporting Form (Appendix B)           | Faculty member                           | For the faculty member to self-assess performance and record academic activities | January 1st       |
| Goals and Objectives Form                      | Faculty                                  | For faculty member to review past goals and                          | January 1st       |
While faculty and supervisors are encouraged to meet regularly to discuss setting and progression towards individual goals, the Annual Performance Review is a structured opportunity to formally document advances made during the past academic year and establish professional expectations for the upcoming time frame. During this formal review, the faculty member will have the opportunity to view and ask questions about the feedback provided.

To complete the review process, the supervisor must complete the following steps:

- Complete the Faculty and Supervisor Reporting Form
- Review the Activity Reporting Form
- Review the Goals and Objectives Form
- Meet in-person with the faculty member and make any modifications

The faculty member may appeal the information recorded within the Faculty and Supervisor Reporting Form and must submit the written appeal within five (5.0) working days of the in-person meeting, to their supervisor. If the faculty member and supervisor are unable to resolve the disagreement, the faculty member can appeal the assessment within five (5.0) working days to the next level of supervision, either their Department Chair or the Dean of the UHCOM. The UHCOM Dean is the final level of appeal.

**Voluntary UHCOM Faculty**

The assessment will be conducted annually before February 28th of the current fiscal year. The faculty member and appropriate supervisor, defined by the organizational structure of the faculty member’s department within the UHCOM, will complete their respective form(s) (see Table below). Because voluntary faculty normally work at sites other than the UHCOM central facility and report to immediate supervisors other than the Department Chair of their appointment, this policy will allow for modifications in terms of settings, reviewers, and required paperwork. While the reviews must still be completed and entered into the UH system prior to February 28th, reviews may be conducted by electronic means, rather than in-person. In addition, the reviews may be conducted by an appropriate designee, assigned such duties by the Department Chair of record. The required paperwork will align with professional roles and expectations (see Table below).

**Table: Annual Performance Review Forms**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Form</th>
<th>Completed by</th>
<th>Goal</th>
<th>Completion Date</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Voluntary Faculty and Supervisor Reporting Form (Appendix E)</td>
<td>Faculty member and Supervisor</td>
<td>For the faculty to self-evaluate and the supervisor to provide summative feedback</td>
<td>February 25th</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Activity Reporting Form (Appendix B) | Faculty member | For the faculty member to self-assess performance and record academic activities | January 1st
---|---|---|---
Goals and Objectives Form (Appendix C) | Faculty member | For faculty member to review past goals and set future goals for the upcoming year | January 1st
Feedback About the Annual Performance Review (Appendix D) | Faculty member | For faculty members to provide feedback about the forms and process | February 28th

To complete the review process, the supervisor must complete the following steps:
- Complete the Voluntary Faculty and Supervisor Reporting Form
- Review the Activity Reporting Form
- Review the Goals and Objectives Form
- Review with the faculty member and make any modifications
- Review with the appropriate Department Chair

The faculty member may appeal the information recorded within the Voluntary Faculty and Supervisor Reporting Form and must submit the written appeal within five (5.0) working days of the review meeting, to their supervisor within the UHCOM. If the faculty member and supervisor are unable to resolve the disagreement, the faculty member can appeal the assessment within five (5.0) working days to the next level of supervision, either their Department Chair or the Dean of the UHCOM. The UHCOM Dean is the final level of appeal.

**Intra-Year Performance Review**
Supervisors are encouraged to meet with faculty during the course of the year to review progress on established goals, ensure resources are in place to meet these goals, and offer corrective action when necessary. These intra-year reviews may be completed on the same official templates as the required Annual Faculty Performance Review, or follow a more unstructured format. While results are not required to be filed though the UH System, written documentation of the meeting must be maintained by the supervisor.

¹ Adapted from George Mason University
² Adapted from Eastern Virginia Medical School
³ Adapted from the University of Massachusetts

**Dissemination and Education Related to This Policy**
- This policy will be available on the University of Houston College of Medicine website.

**Related Links**
- [UH Faculty Annual Performance Review (F-APR) Policy](#)
Date Originated: November 1, 2019
Date Reviewed: August 10, 2023
Appendix A: Faculty and Supervisor Reporting Form¹

University of Houston College of Medicine Annual Performance Review

Behaviorally Anchored Rating Scales Overview

Behaviorally Anchored Rating Scales (BARS) is a performance appraisal technique for assessing the performance of an employee as part of an appraisal process. The technique breaks down the job into its key performance dimensions (tasks), identifies a range of possible behaviors that can be displayed by an employee when undertaking the task and then places these behaviors on a scale ranging from ineffective to excellent performance. The approach uses ratings to communicate different levels of proficiency requirements. However, it should be noted that the scales are designed to provide typical examples of the types of activities or behaviors performed at each rating point, not an exhaustive list of items that all must be completed. BARS should be completed for the domains relevant to the responsibilities and tasks of the faculty member.

Percentage Distribution: Please divide effort across teaching, scholarship, service and administration, and clinical as appropriate. The distribution is determined collaboratively (between faculty and supervisor), to achieve the objectives of the University, College, and Department. These percentages should add up to 100%. A percentage does not need to be listed for each domain.

Teaching %:

Scholarship %:

Service and Administration %:

Clinical % (as appropriate):

BARS for TEACHING: __________

Narrative for TEACHING:

0-2  Student or peer course evaluations are below expectations
     Student or peer comments about the faculty member raise concerns about teaching effectiveness
     For mentors: Little or no mentoring (for example: career advising, scholarship advising, co-authoring papers and presentations with students, journal club discussions, etc.)
     For mentees: Infrequent meetings with mentor. Does not respond to mentoring feedback.
     For course directors: Poor performance with respect to course development, implementation, evaluation, and refinement (for example: missing multiple course milestones and deadlines)

4-6  Student or peer course evaluations meet expectations
For mentors: Occasional mentoring (for example: career advising, scholarship advising, co-authoring papers and presentations with students, journal club discussions, etc.)
For mentees: Periodic meetings with mentor. Responds to mentoring feedback.
For course directors: Adequate performance with respect to course development, implementation, evaluation, and refinement (for example: meets course milestones and deadlines)

8-10 Student or peer course evaluations exceed expectations
Praise of teaching to the chair
Evidence of teaching innovation (for example: novel content, pedagogy, interaction with students, service learning, collaboration, evaluation, integration across the curriculum, etc.)
Teaching awards
For mentors: Significant mentoring with respect to the quality of interactions, the frequency of meetings, and/or the number of mentees (for example: career advising, scholarship advising, co-authoring papers and presentations with students, journal club discussions, etc.)
For mentees: Frequent meetings with mentor. Responds to mentoring feedback. Actively asks for feedback. Identifies areas of weakness and seeks mentoring in those domains.
For course directors: Superb performance with respect to course development, implementation, evaluation, and refinement (for example: exemplary course integration, robust evaluation, evidence of continuous improvement, etc.)

Note: All of these items do not need to be achieved to receive a rating of 8-10

BARS for RESEARCH / SCHOLARSHIP: __________
Narrative for RESEARCH / SCHOLARSHIP:

0-2
0 publications
0 journal submissions
0 presentations
Minimal development of other, non-publication scholarly works (patents, manuals, or survey instruments, etc.)
Little to no development of scholarship (for example: no meetings with potential collaborators, has not attended conferences or meetings relevant to scholarship, has not started drafts of study protocols, grants, or manuscripts)

4-6
1 publication
1 journal submission
1 presentation
Moderate development of other, non-publication scholarly works (patents, manuals, or survey instruments, etc.)
Moderate development of scholarship (for example: has met with a potential collaborator, has attended a conference or meeting relevant to scholarship, has a draft of a study protocol, grant, or manuscript)
8-10  2+ publications
   1+ first, second, or last authorship publication
   2+ journal submissions
   1+ top journal publication(s) (to be determined by Department Chairs)
   2+ presentations
   1+ grant proposal submission
   1 award for research
   Participation in grant study sections
   Significant development of other, non-publication scholarly works (patents, manuals, survey instruments, etc.)
   Extensive development of scholarship (for example: has met with multiple potential collaborators, has attended multiple conferences or meetings relevant to scholarship, has drafted multiple study protocols, grants, or manuscripts)

   Note: All of these items do not need to be achieved to receive a rating of 8-10

**BARS for SERVICE AND ADMINISTRATION:** __________

**Narrative for SERVICE AND ADMINISTRATION:**

0-2  0 Department, College, or University committees
   Sporadic participation in area group/program meetings
   No journal reviewing
   Little or no professional service
   Little or no contribution to accreditation activities
   Fails to respond to most questions/requests from faculty, staff, and students in a timely manner
   Provides little if any leadership for the program
   Plans and organizes meetings poorly
   Poor conflict resolution skills
   Little or no long-range planning for program
   Has poor relationships with faculty and/or students (e.g. conflict)
   Has poor relationships with other department administrators

4-6  1 Department, College, or University committee
   1-2 journal articles reviewed
   Regular participation in area group/program, including student scholarly projects and service learning
   Adequate contribution to accreditation activities
   Usually responds to questions/requests from faculty and students a timely manner
   Provides adequate leadership for the program
   Generally well-organized in administering the program
   Plans and organizes meetings adequately
Good conflict resolution skills
Good at long-range planning
Good relationships with faculty and students
Good relationships with other department administrators

8-10
2+ Department, College, or University committees
Leadership role within College, University, or professional committees
Membership on a committee of a professional society
3+ journal articles reviewed
Membership on an editorial board
Regular participation in area group/program, including student scholarly projects and service learning
Leadership role in area group/program
Leadership role in professional service
Activity in local community
Significant contribution to accreditation activities
Always responds to administrative or student requests in a timely manner
Provides strong to exemplary leadership skills for the program
Excellent administrative skills—highly organized
Plans and organizes meetings very efficiently
Excellent conflict resolution skills
Excellent long-range planning
Has excellent relationships with faculty and students
Has excellent relationships with other department administrators

Note: All of these items do not need to be achieved to receive a rating of 8-10

BARS for CLINICAL SERVICE: __________
Narrative for CLINICAL SERVICE:

0-2
Little or no participation in quality improvement or improved safety
Little or no contribution to clinical innovation or the development of expertise
Does not respond to administrative or patients requests in a timely manner
Patient evaluations below the norm for the clinical setting
Quality measures below the norm for the clinical setting

4-6
Participation in quality improvement or improved safety
Contribution to clinical innovation or the development of expertise
Usually responds to administrative or patients requests in a timely manner
Patient evaluations at the norm for the clinical setting
Quality measures at the norm for the clinical setting
8-10  Evidence of excellence in quality improvement or improved safety
Leadership in clinical innovation or the development of expertise
Always responds to administrative or patient requests in a timely manner
Patient evaluations above the norm for the clinical setting
Quality measures above the norm for the clinical setting

*Note: All of these items do not need to be achieved to receive a rating of 8-10*

Faculty’s self-evaluation and narrative response to the Faculty Reporting, Activity Reporting, and Goal and Objectives Forms:

Chair’s overall evaluation and narrative response to the Faculty Reporting, Activity Reporting, and Goals and Objectives Forms:

**Weighted Score (Use the Expected Percentage Distribution as the weights):**

**Teaching BARS:**

**Scholarship BARS:**

**Service and Administration BARS:**

**Clinical BARS:**

**Summed BARS:**

Note: A summed BARS of 8 to 10 indicates that the faculty member “exceeds expectations.” A summed BARS of 4 to 7 indicates that the faculty member “meets expectations.” A summed BARS of 1 to 3 indicates that the faculty member “does not meet expectations.” Supervisors will develop remediation plans for faculty that do not meet expectations.
Appendix B: Activity Reporting Form²

INSTRUCTIONS: Please submit the most current version of your CV with this form. Using the tables below, highlight any accomplishments since your last Faculty Annual Evaluation. Rows maybe added to each table as needed.

EDUCATION/TEACHING

1. List the teaching activities in which you have been engaged during this review period. Please include workshops, panel discussions and podium presentations, etc.:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>LEVEL (undergraduate, graduate, continuing education, other)</th>
<th>NAME (name of course, clerkship, lab, etc.)</th>
<th>TEACHING METHOD (lecture, small group, simulations, journal club, clinical rounds/teaching, labs, supervision of students, etc.)</th>
<th>NUMBER OF LEARNERS</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

2. List the curriculum development activities in which you have been engaged during this review period including new curriculum materials developed, major revisions, syllabi etc.:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>LEVEL (undergraduate, graduate, continuing, other)</th>
<th>CURRICULUM NAME/ TOPIC</th>
<th>YOUR ROLE AND DEGREE OF RESPONSIBILITY (leader and instructor, advisor/consultant)</th>
<th>NUMBER OF LEARNERS</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

3. List the assessment methods (new or revised) in which you have been engaged during this review period:
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>LEVEL (undergraduate, graduate, continuing, other)</th>
<th>TYPE OF ASSESSMENT METHOD (multiple choice questions, simulation, observations with feedback, grading of research papers or capstone projects)</th>
<th>CONTEXT OF ASSESSMENT (name of course, clerkship, continuing education program, etc.)</th>
<th>YOUR ROLE (development of new tool, implementation of existing tool, grading examinations, etc.)</th>
<th>NUMBER OF LEARNERS</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4. List the advising/mentoring activities of students, residents, junior faculty, interest groups or other groups you have been engaged during this review period:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NAME OF MENTEE/ADVISEE</td>
<td>LEVEL OF TRAINING</td>
<td>LENGTH OF MENTORING/ADVISING</td>
<td>YOUR ROLE (career advice, work-family balance, research, skills development, etc.)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------------------------</td>
<td>------------------</td>
<td>-------------------------------</td>
<td>---------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5. Teaching Evaluations: Briefly summarize evidence of effectiveness in teaching and activities associated with the design, delivery, and evaluation of instruction as well as mentoring and advising during this review period. Report highlights from any related evaluations you have received.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### RESEARCH/SCHOLARSHIP

1. List grants, contracts, clinical studies that have been either submitted or funded during this review period:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>AGENCY NAME</th>
<th>ROLE</th>
<th>% EFFORT</th>
<th>PERIOD COVERED</th>
<th>TOTAL FUNDS REQUESTED</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

2. List books, manuscripts, case studies, opinion papers, editorials, and monographs that have been either under development, submitted or accepted for peer-reviewed publication during this review period:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>PUBLISHER / JOURNAL</th>
<th>TITLE</th>
<th>AUTHORS (Last name First initial)</th>
<th>STATUS (under development, submitted, or accepted)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

3. List all other scholarly activities including oral / poster presentations, non-peer reviewed work, visiting professorships, quality improvement initiatives, patient safety initiatives, and patents or other intellectual properties during this review period:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>NAME OF ACTIVITY</th>
<th>ROLE</th>
<th>STATUS (under development, submitted, or accepted)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
4. List conferences attended, professional development events or study sections attended during this review period:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>TITLE</th>
<th>ROLE</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

5. **Research/Scholarship Evaluations:** Briefly summarize evidence of effectiveness in your research and scholarly activities during this review period. Report highlights from any related evaluations you have received.

**CLINICAL ACTIVITIES**

1. List the major areas of **direct patient care and clinical activities** you have been involved during this review period:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>NAME OF ACTIVITY</th>
<th>% EFFORT</th>
<th>LOCATION</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

2. List the most **significant clinical contributions to the Department** including the development of new clinical programs or processes, internal or external collaborations/partnerships during this review period:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>NAME OF ACTIVITY</th>
<th>YOUR ROLE</th>
<th>LOCATION</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
3. **Clinical Evaluations**: Briefly summarize evidence of effectiveness in your clinical activities including quality and timely completion of patient records, recognition from patients, institutions and peers for clinical skills and professionalism during this review period. Report highlights from any related evaluations you have received.

**SERVICE AND ADMINISTRATION**

1. List **leadership/administrative positions** held during this review period **within the department, institution and/or affiliated clinical settings**:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>TITLE (clinical or medical director, course/clerkship director, department/division chair, dean, etc.)</th>
<th>UNIT/LOCATION</th>
<th>SCOPE</th>
<th>YOUR ROLE</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

2. List **leadership/administrative positions** held during this review period **in local, regional, national organizations**:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>TITLE</th>
<th>ORGANIZATION/AGENCY</th>
<th>SCOPE</th>
<th>YOUR ROLE</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

3. List **the service activities** you have been engaged during this review period **within the department, institution and/or affiliated hospitals**:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>NAME OF ACTIVITY (committees, task forces, search committees and interview of candidates, etc.)</th>
<th>YOUR ROLE</th>
<th>LOCATION</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
4. List the service activities you have been engaged during this review period in local, regional, national organizations:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>NAME OF ACTIVITY (committees, task forces, consultation to other agencies)</th>
<th>ORGANIZATION/AGENCY</th>
<th>YOUR ROLE</th>
<th>LOCATION</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

5. List other community service- related to academic role during this review period:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>NAME OF ACTIVITY (community talk related to professional activities, judge at science fair, etc.)</th>
<th>ORGANIZATION/AGENCY</th>
<th>YOUR ROLE</th>
<th>LOCATION</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

6. Leadership/ administration and service: Briefly summarize evidence of accomplishments in leadership/ administration and service to the institution, the profession and to the community at large as related to the academic role during this review period. Report highlights from any related evaluations you have received.
Appendix C: Goals and Objectives

List goals and accompanying objectives for the next year in priority order. Include at least one objective for each goal. Write at least one goal and related objective(s) in the following areas as appropriate: teaching, scholarship, service and administration, and clinical service. The goals and objectives should support the UH College of Medicine’s mission.

A goal is a broad statement of a desired outcome that you plan to achieve in the next 3-5 years. Examples:
- To secure external funding to maintain a productive research program
- To establish myself as an educational scholar
- To increase the efficiency of my clinical practice

An objective is a statement of a desired outcome that you will achieve in the next year. Objectives should be in the SMART format: Specific, Measureable, Achievable, Relevant, Time-limited
Examples:
- I will submit two applications for external funding by January 1st
- I will complete the assessment of the new curriculum and write a manuscript by December 1st
- I will enroll in LEAN training and initiate one project for quality improvement this year

Table: Goals and Objectives for the Next Academic Year

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Goals</th>
<th>Objectives</th>
<th>How the goal relates to the UH COM mission</th>
<th>Resources needed to meet goal or objective (consider mentoring, time, and equipment)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>(1) Teaching Goal:</td>
<td>Objective 1.1:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(2) Scholarship Goal:</td>
<td>Objective 2.1:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(3): Service and Administration Goal:</td>
<td>Objective 3.1:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(4) Clinical Service Goal:</td>
<td>Objective 4.1:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(5) Wellness Goal:</td>
<td>Objective 5.1:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table: Goals and Objectives for the Previous Academic Year

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Goals</th>
<th>Objectives</th>
<th>Progress towards meeting goals and objectives</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>(1) Teaching Goal:</td>
<td>Objective 1.1:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(2) Scholarship Goal:</td>
<td>Objective 2.1:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(3) Service and Administration Goal:</td>
<td>Objective 3.1:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(4) Clinical Service Goal:</td>
<td>Objective 4.1:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(5) Wellness Goal:</td>
<td>Objective 5.1:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Appendix D: Feedback about the Annual Performance Review

1) What was beneficial to you about the Annual Performance Review forms?

2) What was beneficial to you about the Annual Performance Review process?

3) How did the Annual Performance Review help you become a better faculty member?

4) What would you change about the Annual Performance Review forms?

5) What would you change about the Annual Performance Review process?

6) Any other feedback about the forms or the process?
Appendix E: Voluntary Faculty and Supervisor Reporting Form

Teaching/Supervising

_______ Exceeds Expectations: *Praise from students; exemplary course evaluations; Innovative teaching methods*

_______ Meets Expectations: *Satisfactory evaluations from students; assessments on time/complete*

_______ Below Expectations: *Course evaluations unsatisfactory; student comments raise concerns about teaching effectiveness; assessments late/incomplete*

_______ Not Applicable

Research/Scholarly Activity

_______ Exceeds Expectations: *Praise from students; mentoring multiple students/trainees; presentations with students*

_______ Meets Expectations: *Satisfactory evaluations from students; some work with trainees on scholarly activity*

_______ Below Expectations: *Comments from student mentees raise concerns about effectiveness; missed commitments*

_______ Not Applicable

Community and/or Administrative Service

_______ Exceeds Expectations: *Active in community; leadership roles in professional societies; sets positive example for others on altruism; seeks out ways to contribute*

_______ Meets Expectations: *Meets commitments for participation; membership in a professional society or relevant community group*

_______ Below Expectations: *Does not meet commitments for participation; little or no work on required administrative committees or within the community*

_______ Not Applicable
Faculty’s self-evaluation and narrative response to the Faculty Reporting, Activity Reporting, and Goal and Objectives Forms:

Chair’s overall evaluation and narrative response to the Faculty Reporting, Activity Reporting, and Goals and Objectives Forms: