Déjà new: A Return to the Old Normal

January 2024



The Global Fixed Income Business of Prudential Financial, Inc.

Prudential Financial, Inc. of the United States is not affiliated in any manner with Prudential plc, incorporated in the United Kingdom or with Prudential Assurance Company, a subsidiary of M&G plc, incorporated in the United Kingdom.

Confidential – Not for further distribution.

For Professional Investors Only. All investments involve risk, including possible loss of capital. Please see Notice Page for important disclosures regarding the information contained herein.



The uncertainty and complexity we face today isn't abnormal: the outlier was the unipolar era after the Cold War





Francis Fukuyama's *End of History* was a best seller, prematurely celebrating the permanent triumph of democracy

Humanity has reached "not just...the passing of a particular period of post-war history, but the <u>end of history</u> as such: that is, the endpoint of mankind's ideological evolution and the universalization of Western liberal democracy as the final form of human government."

ogton Post Book Work AND THE $I \land \land \land$ $FR \Delta$ WITH A NEW AFTERWORD



To be fair, democracy was ascendent like never before



Source: PGIM Fixed Income as of June 2018, Polity IV Project. Note: Anocracy: Mixed or incoherent authority regime.



Democratic capitalism, globalization, and global supply chains were "in"



Last Updated: December 6, 2023



Cold War rivalries were "out" - Russia joined G7 discussions in 1994 and was officially invited in 1997

World Leaders at the Denver Summit of the Eight in 1997



Boris Yeltsin flanking Bill Clinton at the G8



China joined the WTO in 2001 and soon turbocharged globalization in its image



Source: PGIM Fixed Income

PGIM FIXED INCOME



Europe introduced the Euro in 1999, ushering in a decade of monetary convergence



European yields. Thomson Reuters Datastream



The BRICs became the Beatles among emerging economies, lifting hundreds of millions out of abject poverty





Investment & productivity boomed as ICT technologies were developed and diffused into businesses processes



Meanwhile, a bipartisan push for fiscal discipline produced budget surpluses by the turn of the century

Federal Budget Balance Between 1990 and 2000 % of GDP 3 2000 Q1 +1.5% 2 1 0 -1 -2 -3 -4 -5 -6 -7 1992 Q3 -6.4% -8 1990 1991 1992 1993 1994 1999 1996 1998 1995 1997 2000

PGIM FIXED INCOME

Last Updated: December 6, 2023



Voting patterns in Congress differed only at the margin





Most people received the same news – from the same sources – precisely twice a day



Source: PGIM Fixed Income; public domain photos

The unipolar moment of great moderation is over...

... it's not coming back anytime soon



We have entered a period of seismic shifts that loosen structural anchors on the global economy and our geopolitical regime

Trend		Implications
1	Intensified Great Power Competition	 a) More frequent and disruptive conflict b) Fiscal dominance at home; intensified economic statecraft abroad c) Less scope for cross-border risk management
2	Unprecedented Political Polarization	a) Erosion of political centerb) Less orthodox economic policyc) Institutional decay & less policy credibility
3	Bumpy Transition From Fossil Fuels Renewables	 a) Shortfalls in energy supply as fossil fuels phased out b) Higher energy prices, headline inflation, and infl expectation c) Larger fiscal transfers to cushion worst off d) BoP winners & losers
4	Supply Chain De-risking	 a) Singular focus on supply chain efficiency is "out" b) Resilience is "in" as an organizing principle b) Smaller markets lower econ of scale higher costs
5	Distinct Technological Ecosystems	 a) More public investment in foundational technologies, but b) Dimensions of "yard"/"fence" will expand □ less tech diffusion c) Two sided risk: new forms of hybrid warfare using AI, biotech, etc

Intensified Great Power Competition



Unlike during the unipolar era, democracy has been in retreat for much of this century

17 YEARS OF DEMOCRATIC DECLINE

Countries with aggregate score declines in *Freedom in the World* have outnumbered those with gains every year for the past 17 years. However, events in 2022 generated the smallest margin—and the smallest number of countries with declines—since the negative pattern began.



Source: Freedom House. As of March 2023. Note: Countries whose scores were unchanged are not included in this comparison. Freedom in the World assesses 195 countries and 15 territories.



Intensified great power competition implies more frequent conflict, either directly or via proxies

State-Based Conflicts from 1946 to 2022

PGIM FIXED INCOME



Source: UCDP and Our World in Data. Measures conflicts that cause at least 25 deaths during the year. As of October 2023.



Military expenditures across the world are broadening and rising sharply...



Military Expenditure by Country

Derived from figures in constant 2021 prices and exchange rates



...but because "great" powers = nuclear powers, there is a strong deterrent against military confrontation. Implication? Economic statecraft = foreign policy of first resort

US Sanctions Designations, 2009-2022



Source: CEPR author's calculations based on Dorshimer and Shin (2021), US Department of the Treasury (2023). As of May 2023.



By construction, sanctions are designed to break trade, capital, and technology linkages in the global economy. See Russia 2022



Emergency rate hikes



The wave of capital outflows in Russia was more than 2x the outflows during the GFC and COVID...



Last Updated: December 6, 2023

PGIM FIXED INCOME



...triggering an initial freefall in the value of the Ruble...





...a spike of import prices and inflation...



Last Updated: December 6, 2023



...nosebleed policy rates from the central bank...

Russia Policy Rate



Source: Macrobond



...and recession





On a positive note, great power competition may induce more active use of fiscal policy to sustain or generate new competitive advantages



Last Updated: December 6, 2023



But the scope for cross-border cooperation to address cross-border risks is undeniably smaller

The proportion of countries in debt distress, or at high risk of debt distress, has doubled to 60% from 2015 levels.



(Almost) Unprecedented Polarization



Just as we're competing more intensely across countries, political polarization is pulling us apart from within

Political Polarization by World Region Since 1900





By some measures, the United States is now more polarized than Latin America

Polarization in Latin America and the United States Since 1900





Why? Extreme inequality

Share of Household Wealth, Adults (%)





Why? Atomization of the media

Social media sites by portion of users who regularly get news there

% of each social media site's users who regularly get news there



Source: Survey of U.S. adults conducted Sept. 25-Oct. 1, 2023.

PEW RESEARCH CENTER



Why? Historic levels of migration

Figure 3. The foreign-born number and share are higher now than at any time in American history.



Sources: Decennial Census for 1850 to 2000, American Community Survey for 2010, October Current Population Survey (CPS) for 2023. The CPS does not include the institutionalized.

Migration to OECD countries is at a record high

Permanent migration to the OECD, 2018-22, in millions



With more than 6 million new permanent immigrants (not including Ukrainian refugees), permanent-type migration to OECD countries reached a record level in 2022.



With less weight in the political center, fiscal expansion is the path of least political resistance...



PGIM FIXED INCOME


Perhaps so is the rise of labor bargaining power...





...after almost half a century of declining labor share of national income





Less orthodox policy – and lower policy credibility implies a higher risk premium on any cash flow-producing asset

Confidence in Federal Reserve Chair to Do/Recommend the Right Thing for the U.S. Economy



PGIM FIXED INCOME



Less orthodox policy – and lower policy credibility implies a higher risk premium on any cash flow producing asset (cont'd)

Confidence in Congressional Party Leaders to Do/Recommend the Right Thing for the U.S. Economy









Less orthodox policy – and lower policy credibility implies a higher risk premium on any cash flow-producing asset (cont'd)

Changes in Confidence in Economic Leaders, 2022-2023

Figures are the percentage with a great deal or fair amount of confidence in each to do or to recommend the right thing for the economy

	2022	2023	Change
	%	%	pct. pts.
Federal Reserve Chairman Jerome Powell	43	36	-7
President Joe Biden	40	35	-5
The Democratic leaders in Congress	38	34	-4
The Republican leaders in Congress	40	38	-2



It also implies a structural decline in consumer "vibes" about the state of the economy



Bumpy Energy Transition to Renewables



Despite the parabolic growth in recent years, energy production from renewable sources is still only about 20% of the total



Source: Macrobond



Meanwhile, the legacy fossil fuel infrastructure is losing productive capacity...





...while almost half of global oil and gas production is arguably at risk of weaponization



Last Updated: December 6, 2023



Unfortunately, fossil fuels aren't the only energy source that might get weaponized

Share of Top Three Producing Countries in Total Production for Selected Resources and Minerals, 2022



Source: IEA analysis based on S&P Global, USGS, Mineral Commodity Summaries as of June 2023. Notes: DRC stands for the Democratic Republic of the Congo. Graphite extraction is for natural flake graphite. Graphite processing is for spherical graphite for battery grade.



We must brace for bumpy periods of imbalance between global energy supply and demand during the transition



EIA Short Term World Energy Outlook

Last Updated: December 6, 2023

Reorientation of Global Supply Chains



Not many people read G7 statements, but the Hiroshima communique was genuinely important

MAY 20, 2023

G7 Hiroshima Leaders' Communiqué

 Our policy approaches are not designed to harm China nor do we seek to thwart China's economic progress and development. A growing China that plays by international rules would be of global interest. We are not decoupling or turning inwards. At the same time, we recognize that economic resilience requires de-risking and diversifying. We will take steps, individually and collectively, to invest in our own economic vibrancy. We will reduce excessive dependencies in our critical supply chains.

> 2023 mentions of China: 20 2022 mentions of China: 14 2021 mentions of China 4 2020 mentions of China: 0

PGIM FIXED INCOME



There is growing evidence of de-risking from China in global supply chains





The implications for efficiency, economies of scale, and productivity will be sizeable across the global economy





Globalization isn't dead, but we have entered an era of "slowbalization"





"Slowbalization" is the corollary of a return to industrial policy – the causality runs both ways





Execution is everything...the difference between a productivity boom and bust

Costs of rapid rail transit infrastructure by country



Subway construction cost per mile, in 2017 dollars



Bource: manaic costs Project

Distinct Technology Ecosystems



Technology has emerged as the main theater of geopolitical competition

tificial intelligence (AI) and machine learning technology	Additive manufacturing (3D printing)	Position, Navigation and Timing (PNT) technology	Microprocessor technology
Quantum information and sensing technology	Advanced computing technology	Data analytics technology	Logistics technology
		Brain-computer interfaces	Robotics
	E.	Advanced Materials	Advanced surveillance technologies
		Biotechnology	Hypersonics

Source: U.S. Federal Register - Review of Controls for Certain Emerging Technologies



Technologies like AI, semiconductors, biotech, and quantum are foundational for military pre-eminence



PGIM FIXED INCOME Distinct Technology Ecosystems



The announcement of "Made in China 2025" in 2015 was a watershed moment in shaping today's tech competition





At best, global tech competition could motivate a "race to the top" on innovation with positive global spillovers

Government Commitments to Develop Domestic Semiconductor Capabilities

	Incentives	Year announced	Stated Goals	
U.S.	\$52 billion	2022	Fortify the economy and national security	
China	\$150 billion over 10 years	2015	Raise domestic chip production to 70% of total demand by 2025, from ~10% in 2017 and ~18% currently	
	~\$140 billion	2023		
EU	\$47 billion	2023	Raise global share of chip production to 20% by 2030 from ~7% currently	
Singapore	\$25 billion over 5 years	2020	Match global capacity growth at minimum	
Taiwan	Tax credits on R&D and equipment procurement as much as 50% of total taxes owed	2023	Keep cutting-edge technology at home and maintain the island's leadership in chips	
Japan	\$6.8 and \$2.8 billion for 2022 and 2023 budget, respectively	2023	Strengthen economic security by taking on supply chain vulnerabilities and gain control over advanced technologies and infrastructure	
South Korea	up to \$65b over 3 years	2021	Build the world's largest semiconductor supply chain by 2030	
India	\$10 billion	2022	Build a semiconductor ecosystem to enhance national security	



But the dominant impact will be a rapid increase in export controls: the dimensions of a "small yard, high fence" will expand

Number of Chinese Companies on the Department of Commerce Entity List





Because capital travels with know-how, FDI (and possibly portfolio flows) will remain under heavy scrutiny

FDI into China (Source: SAFE), in \$ billions



Distinct Technology Ecosystems



A full tech war could reduce US GDP by as much as 4% over a decade, with Europe, China, and EMs bearing heavier costs

Technological Decoupling Scenarios: Real GDP for Selected Regions (IMF)



- Scenario 3: China-OECD w/o preferential attachment
- -----Scenario 5: China-U.S.-Germany w/o preferential attachment
- Scenario 2: China-U.S. with preferential attachment
- Scenario 4: China-OECD with preferential attachment





Years

Japan

2

2







2



Years India

0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10

Years

2

0

-2

-4

-6

-8

-10



-8

-10

2



Years

Source: IMF. As of March 2021.

PGIM FIXED INCOME

Implications for Markets & Economic Policy



Past is prologue: markets have correctly discounted a regime of structurally higher neutral rates (inflation, growth, uncertainty, and/or risk premium)



Source: PGIM Fixed Income, Macrobond

Last Updated: December 6, 2023



The frequency and potency of deploying economic statecraft - in all its forms - has never been higher





Unprecedented use of economic statecraft: a reflection of danger, risk, and opportunity

US National Security Strategy, 2022

The most pressing strategic challenge facing our vision is from powers that layer authoritarian governance with a revisionist foreign policy. It is their behavior that poses a challenge to international peace and stability—especially waging or preparing for wars of aggression, actively undermining the democratic political processes of other countries, leveraging technology and supply chains for coercion and repression, and exporting an illiberal model of international order. Many non-democracies join the world's democracies in forswearing these behaviors. Unfortunately, Russia and the People's Republic of China (PRC) do not...

Russia poses an immediate threat to the free and open international system, recklessly flouting the basic laws of the international order today...The PRC, by contrast, is the only competitor with both the intent to reshape the international order and, increasingly, the economic, diplomatic, military, and technological power to advance that objective.



Unprecedented use of economic statecraft: a reflection of danger, risk, and opportunity

The Countries Holding The World's Nuclear Arsenal

Estimated global nuclear warhead inventories (Jan. 2023)*



* Includes deployed, stockpiled and retired warheads awaiting disarmament Source: SIPRI



Unprecedented use of economic statecraft: a reflection of danger, risk, and opportunity





Net: economic statecraft will continue to fill the policy space between war and words. Is the ROW with us?

Two-thirds of the world's population live in countries that are neutral or Russia-leaning regarding the war in Ukraine





1/ POTUS must articulate a doctrine of economic statecraft, starting with guiding principles:

- **Judicious:** Coercive tools used sparingly, and only in response to threats that might undermine global peace and stability
- Responsible: Avoid unintended spillovers to civilians, third countries, and the US/global economy
- **Coordinated:** Calibrated to maximize likelihood of coordination with like-minded partners
- **Flexible:** Retain optionality to ratchet costs higher or lower depending on impact and target's response
- **Sustainable:** Must be sustainable over the medium-term to ensure appreciable impact
- **Efficacy:** Geopolitical impact delivered must be judged as sufficient to justify economic costs and risks, relative to next best alternative
- **Humility:** Unintended consequences are inevitable; must be willing to change our mind, to course correct, and to admit when we're wrong



2/ A doctrine of economic statecraft should also include rules of engagement that sets forth the outer bounds:

- Why: A clearly defined geopolitical objective that statecraft is designed to serve
- When: The standards for deploying statecraft before, during, or after a trigger event
- **What:** The limits of what we'll do with statecraft, and what we won't contemplate. E.g., sanctions on food; seizing property w/o due process
- **How:** Circumstances in which we'd be willing to deploy sanctions unilaterally if we're unable or unwilling to build a coalition
- **Against whom:** Delineates how we think about deploying sanctions on private citizens and private companies, as opposed to technocrats, government officials, military personnel, and political leadership



3/ Finally, doctrine must include a 'code of conduct' for practitioners of economic statecraft

- **Commitment to uphold standards of behavior** set forth in guiding principles and rules of engagement
- **Commitment of caution to "do no unnecessary harm"** to civilians in the target population and third countries
- **Commitment of humility** to follow an evidence-based and unsentimental approach that challenges lazy narratives, imagines the full distribution of possibilities, and helps policymakers to see their blind spots!

4/ Taking doctrine seriously requires an upgrade to the USG's analytical infrastructure. Start by mimicking the FRBNY

FEDERAL RESERVE BANK of NEW YORK Serving the Second District and the Nation



Historical Transaction Data



5/ At regular intervals – not just once ! - we should assess the historical efficacy and spillovers of these tools





6/ Even more importantly, we must imagine multistage, multiplayer conflicts that play out globally in a repeated game

- "Stress tests" and wargames: reveal where collective strengths intersect with target's vulnerabilities
 + vice versa.
- Must evolve into a continuous process that identifies where we need to strengthen or invent new tools, new defenses, and new forms of coordination to prevail





7/ "Necessity is the mother of invention": We must anticipate how and where evasion is likely to occur and build readiness to respond in real-time, whether by:

- Tightening the screws on enforcement
- Making an example out of evaders via outsized penalties

 Foreign Policy

 https://foreignpolicy.com > 2023/10/26 > russia-oil-price...

How Russia Evaded the Oil Price Cap

Oct 26, 2023 — The third proposal is the most powerful: It entails **imposing sanctions on Russian** oil firms and international businesses helping Russia to dodge ...

E

The Economist

https://www.economist.com

Russia's sanctions-dodging is getting ever more sophisticated

How banks are greasing the wheels of the growing grey trade | Finance & economics. Expand your perspective on 2024 with our best price subscription—50% off annual digital.



8/ Executing an ambitious buildout of analytical infrastructure requires a step change in personnel...a multidisciplinary SWAT team....not two economists

Economics

US Treasury Hires Economists to Study Consequences of Sanctions

Unit will conduct analysis before sanctions are imposed

Critics say penalties are imposed too much and hard to remove



By <u>Daniel Flatley</u> May 17, 2023 at 9:45 PM EDT

Listen 1:40

The US Treasury Department hired two economists to study the effects and unintended consequences of sanctions, the financial tools that have come to play a central role in US foreign policy.

The Sanctions Economic Analysis Unit will research the collateral damage of sanctions before they're imposed, and after they've been put in place to see if they should be adjusted. Treasury announced last September it was creating the new positions.



9/ The team will need sufficient scale, scope, and absorption capacity to execute at pace even amid multiple crises at once

To do so, it must build connective tissue with allies and partners – both existing and potential ones – as well as stakeholders in the private sector and regulatory community





10/ Ultimately, though, boosting the credibility of economic statecraft requires more than just doctrine and analysis.

We must strike a deliberate balance in the practice of statecraft that conveys a standing preference for positive inducements that attract and induce geopolitical swing states via the prospect of mutual gain.... rather than punitive devices that coerce behavior by inflicting economic pain



Partnership for Global Infrastructure and Investment

Center for Global Development

https://www.cgdev.org > publication > reimagining-us...

Reimagining the US Sovereign Loan Guarantee Program

Jun 20, 2023 – This paper proposes the reimagining of the US Sovereign Loan Guarantee (SLG) program as a means to mitigate debt vulnerabilities and support ...

discoursemagazine.com https://www.discoursemagazine.com > a-u-s-sovereign-w...

A U.S. Sovereign Wealth Fund? - Discourse Magazine

Jul 15, 2021 – A sovereign wealth fund the private sector's undersaving problen



Axios

https://www.axios.com > Economy

Using the Strategic Petroleum Reserve strategically

THE WORLD BANK



Daleep Singh is the Chief Global Economist and Head of Global Macroeconomic Research at PGIM Fixed Income. Mr. Singh is responsible for oversight of the Firm's Global Macroeconomic Research team, leading the formulation of the global macroeconomic outlook across developed and emerging economies, analyzing key structural shifts, and synthesizing the implications for global interest rate, currency, sovereign, and credit markets. He is a member of the senior investment team and provides thought leadership on global policy and macroeconomic trends. Prior to joining the Firm in 2022, Mr. Singh served as Deputy National Security Advisor for international economics and Deputy Director of the National Economic Council for the Biden Administration. In this capacity, he coordinated the Administration's policymaking process for a range of issues at the intersection of economic policy and national security, including economic statecraft, supply chain resilience, innovation policy, infrastructure finance, digital assets, global health security, and climate finance. As the U.S. Sherpa to the G7 and G20, he also acted as President Biden's representative in major international fora. Previously, Mr. Singh was an Executive Vice President and Head of the Markets Group at the New York Federal Reserve, where he led the implementation for most of the Fed's emergency facilities launched during the COVID-19 pandemic. Earlier in his career, Singh served as an acting assistant secretary for financial markets at the Treasury Department during the Obama-Biden Administration, as well as a deputy assistant secretary for international affairs. Before and after his time in government roles, Mr. Singh held positions in the private sector and academia, including when he taught a popular graduate course on geoeconomic strategy. Mr. Singh was named one of the 2022 Bloomberg 50, an annual list of innovators, entrepreneurs, and leaders who have changed the global business landscape.



For Professional Investors only. All investments involve risk, including the possible loss of capital.

PGIM Fixed Income is a global asset manager primarily focused on public fixed income investments whose U.S. business operates as a unit of PGIM, Inc. PGIM, Inc. is an investment adviser registered with the U.S. Securities and Exchange Commission (the "SEC")., and is a Prudential Financial, Inc. ("PFI") company. Registration with the SEC as an investment adviser does not imply a certain level or skill or training. PGIM Fixed Income is headquartered in Newark, New Jersey and also includes the following businesses globally: (i) the public fixed income unit within PGIM Limited, located in London; (ii) locally managed assets of PGIM Japan Co., Ltd., located in Tokyo; (iii) the public fixed income unit within PGIM (Singapore) Pte. Ltd., located in Singapore; and (iv) the public fixed income unit within PGIM Netherlands B.V., located in Amsterdam. PFI of the United States is not affiliated in any manner with Prudential plc, incorporated in the United Kingdom or with Prudential, PGIM, their respective logos and the Rock symbol are service marks of PFI and its related entities, registered in many jurisdictions worldwide.

These materials are for informational or educational purposes. The information is not intended as investment advice and is not a recommendation about managing or investing assets. In providing these materials, PGIM Fixed Income is not acting as your fiduciary. Clients seeking information regarding their particular investment needs should contact their financial professional.

This document may contain confidential information and the recipient hereof agrees to maintain the confidentiality of such information. Distribution of this information to any person other than the person to whom it was originally delivered and to such person's advisers is unauthorized, and any reproduction of this document, in whole or in part, or the divulgence of any of its contents, without PGIM Fixed Income's prior written consent, is prohibited. This document contains the current opinions of the manager and such opinions are subject to change. Certain information in this document has been obtained from sources that PGIM Fixed Income believes to be reliable as of the date presented; however, PGIM Fixed Income cannot guarantee the accuracy of such information, assure its completeness, or warrant such information will not be changed. The information contained herein is current as of the date of issuance (or such earlier date as referenced herein) and is subject to change without notice. PGIM Fixed Income has no obligation to update any or all such information; nor do we make any express or implied warranties or representations as to its completeness or accuracy. Any information presented regarding the affiliates of PGIM Fixed Income is presented purely to facilitate an organizational overview and is not a solicitation on behalf of any affiliate.

These materials are not intended as an offer or solicitation with respect to the purchase or sale of any security or other financial instrument or any investment management services. These materials do not constitute investment advice and should not be used as the basis for any investment decision.

This material may contain examples of the firm's internal ESG research program and is not intended to represent any particular product's or strategy's performance or how any particular product or strategy will be invested or allocated at any particular time. PGIM's ESG policies and procedures, rankings and factors may change over time, in PGIM Fixed Income's discretion. ESG investing is qualitative and subjective by nature; there is no guarantee that the criteria used or judgment exercised by PGIM Fixed Income will reflect the beliefs or values of any investor. Information regarding certain ESG practices may be obtained through third-party reporting, which may not be accurate or complete, and PGIM Fixed Income depends on this information to evaluate a company's commitment to, or implementation of, ESG practices. ESG norms differ by region. Accounts managed by PGIM Fixed Income may or may not hold instruments issued by any of the issuers that may be discussed herein. Nothing contained herein should be construed as limiting the investments or strategies that PGIM Fixed Income can pursue when managing a client account. There is no assurance that PGIM Fixed Income's ESG investing techniques will be successful.

These materials do not take into account individual client circumstances, objectives or needs. No determination has been made regarding the suitability of any securities, financial instruments or strategies for particular clients or prospects. The information contained herein is provided on the basis and subject to the explanations, caveats and warnings set out in this notice and elsewhere herein. Any discussion of risk management is intended to describe PGIM Fixed Income's efforts to monitor and manage risk but does not imply low risk. No risk management technique can guarantee the mitigation or elimination of risk in any market environment. Any risk metrics or portfolio characteristics provided are not, and should not be construed as, the past or projected performance of the strategy presented or any investment, which will be impacted by a number of factors not reflected herein. These materials do not purport to provide any legal, tax or accounting advice. These materials are not intended for distribution to or use by any person in any jurisdiction where such distribution would be contrary to local law or regulation.

Any financial indices referenced herein as benchmarks are provided for informational purposes only. The use of benchmarks has limitations because portfolio holdings and characteristics will differ from those of the benchmark(s), and such differences may be material. You cannot make a direct investment in an index. Factors affecting portfolio performance that do not affect benchmark performance may include portfolio rebalancing, the timing of cash flows, credit quality, diversification, and differences in volatility. In addition, financial indices do not reflect the impact of fees, applicable taxes or trading costs which reduce returns. Unless otherwise noted, financial indices assume reinvestment of dividends.

Any forecasts, estimates and certain information contained herein are based upon proprietary research and should not be interpreted as investment advice, as an offer or solicitation, nor as the purchase or sale of any financial instrument. Forecasts and estimates have certain inherent limitations, and unlike an actual performance record, do not reflect actual trading, liquidity constraints, fee. These materials are not intended as an offer or solicitation with respect to the purchase or sale of any security or other financial instrument or any investment management services and should not be used as the basis for any investment decision. PGIM Fixed Income and its affiliates may make investment decisions that are inconsistent with the recommendations or views expressed herein, including for proprietary accounts of PGIM Fixed Income or its affiliates.



Any performance targets contained herein are subject to revision by PGIM Fixed Income and are provided solely as a guide to current expectations. There can be no assurance that any product or strategy described herein will achieve any targets or that there will be any return of capital. Target annualized excess returns are presented on both a gross and net basis solely for the purpose of detailing the anticipated risk and reward characteristics of the strategy in order to facilitate comparisons with other investment types. Gross targets do not reflect the deduction of fees and other expenses to be borne by accounts using the strategy. which will reduce returns and, in the aggregate, may be substantial. Net targets reflect the deduction of model fees and expenses equal to the highest fees borne by a portfolio utilizing the strategy. The target returns presented herein are not a prediction, projection, expectation or guarantee of future performance. There are significant risks and limitations in using target returns, including targets the are based upon assumptions regarding future events and situations, which may prove not to be accurate or may not materialize. Further, the target returns stated herein are based on an assumption stated there conditions will not deteriorate and, in some cases, will improve. The target returns are also based on models, estimates and assumptions about performance believed to be reasonable under the circumstances, but actual returns of the strategy and its investments will depend on, among other factors, the ability to consummate attractive investments, future operating results, the value of the assets and market conditions at the time of disposition, any related transaction costs and the timing and manner of sale, all of which may differ from the assumptions and circumstances on which the target returns are based. PGIM Fixed Income will be taking with respect to the strategy and investment type. There can be no assurance that any investments, any of the investment types or the stra

Tracking Error (TE) is one possible measurement of the dispersion of a portfolio's returns from its stated benchmark; it is the standard deviation of such excess returns. TE figures are representations of statistical expectations falling within "normal" distributions of return patterns. Normal statistical distributions of returns suggests that approximately two thirds of the time the annual gross returns of the accounts will lie in a range equal to the benchmark return plus or minus the TE if the market behaves in a manner suggested by historical returns. Targeted TE therefore applies statistical probabilities (and the language of uncertainty) and so cannot be predictive of actual results. In addition, past tracking error is not indicative of future TE and there can be no assurance that the TE actually reflected in your accounts will be at levels either specified in the investment objectives or suggested by our forecasts.

In the United Kingdom, information is issued by PGIM Limited with registered office: Grand Buildings, 1-3 Strand, Trafalgar Square, London, WC2N 5HR.PGIM Limited is authorised and regulated by the Financial Conduct Authority ("FCA") of the United Kingdom (Firm Reference Number 193418). In the European Economic Area ("EEA"), information is issued by PGIM Netherlands B.V., an entity authorised by the Autoriteit Financiële Markten ("AFM") in the Netherlands and operating on the basis of a European passport. In certain EEA countries, information is, where permitted, presented by PGIM Limited in reliance of provisions, exemptions or licenses available to PGIM Limited including those available under temporary permission arrangements following the exit of the United Kingdom from the European Union. These materials are issued by PGIM Limited and/or PGIM Netherlands B.V. to persons who are professional clients as defined under the rules of the FCA and/or to persons who are professional clients as defined in the relevant local implementation of Directive 2014/65/EU (MiFID II). In Switzerland, information is issued by PGIM Limited, London, through its Representative Office in Zurich with registered office: Kappelergasse 14, CH-8001 Zurich, Switzerland. PGIM Limited, London, Representative Office in Zurich is authorised and regulated by the Swiss Financial Market Supervisory Authority FINMA and these materials are issued to persons who are professional or institutional clients within the meaning of Art.4 para 3 and 4 FinSA in Switzerland. In certain countries in Asia-Pacific, information is presented by PGIM (Singapore) Pte. Ltd., a regulated entity with the Monetary Authority of Singapore under a Capital Markets Services License to conduct fund management and an exempt financial adviser. In Japan, information is presented by PGIM Japan Co. Ltd., registered investment adviser with the Japanese Financial Services Agency. In South Korea, information is presented by PGIM, Inc., which is licensed to provide discretionary investment management services directly to South Korean investors. In Hong Kong, information is provided by PGIM (Hong Kong) Limited, a regulated entity with the Securities & Futures Commission in Hong Kong to professional investors as defined in Section 1 of Part 1 of Schedule 1 of the Securities and Futures Ordinance (Cap. 571). In Australia, this information is presented by PGIM (Australia) Pty Ltd ("PGIM Australia") for the general information of its "wholesale" customers (as defined in the Corporations Act 2001). PGIM Australia is a representative of PGIM Limited, which is exempt from the requirement to hold an Australian Financial Services License under the Australian Corporations Act 2001 in respect of financial services. PGIM Limited is exempt by virtue of its regulation by the FCA (Reg: 193418) under the laws of the United Kingdom and the application of ASIC Class Order 03/1099. The laws of the United Kingdom differ from Australian laws. In Canada, pursuant to the international adviser registration exemption in National Instrument 31-103, PGIM, Inc. is informing you that: (1) PGIM, Inc. is not registered in Canada and is advising you in reliance upon an exemption from the adviser registration requirement under National Instrument 31-103; (2) PGIM, Inc.'s jurisdiction of residence is New Jersey, U.S.A.; (3) there may be difficulty enforcing legal rights against PGIM, Inc. because it is resident outside of Canada and all or substantially all of its assets may be situated outside of Canada; and (4) the name and address of the agent for service of process of PGIM. Inc. in the applicable Provinces of Canada are as follows: in Québec: Borden Ladner Gervais LLP, 1000 de La Gauchetière Street West, Suite 900 Montréal, QC H3B 5H4; in British Columbia: Borden Ladner Gervais LLP, 1200 Waterfront Centre, 200 Burrard Street, Vancouver, BC V7X 1T2: in Ontario: Borden Ladner Gervais LLP. 22 Adelaide Street West, Suite 3400, Toronto, ON M5H 4E3: in Nova Scotia: Cox & Palmer, Q.C., 1100 Purdy's Wharf Tower One, 1959 Upper Water Street, P.O. Box 2380 -Stn Central RPO, Halifax, NS B3J 3E5; in Alberta: Borden Ladner Gervais LLP, 530 Third Avenue S.W., Calgary, AB T2P R3.

© 2023 PFI and its related entities.