IN THE

Supreme Court of the United States

ABIGAIL NOEL FISHER,

Petitioner,

υ.

University of Texas at Austin, et al.,

Respondents.

ON WRIT OF CERTIORARI TO THE UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS FOR THE FIFTH CIRCUIT

BRIEF AMICI CURIAE OF 39 UNDERGRADUATE AND GRADUATE STUDENT ORGANIZATIONS WITHIN THE UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA IN SUPPORT OF RESPONDENTS

Kimberly Thomas Rapp Keith Wurster Travis Silva LAWYERS' COMMITTEE FOR CIVIL RIGHTS OF THE SAN FRANCISCO BAY AREA 131 Steuart Street Suite 400 San Francisco, CA 94105 (415) 543-9697

Monte Cooper
Counsel of Record
Robert A. Rosenfeld
Andrew Ardinger
Amisha R. Patel
Naomi J. Mower
ORRICK, HERRINGTON &
SUTCLIFFE LLP
1000 Marsh Road
Menlo Park, CA 94025
(650) 614-7400
mcooper@orrick.com

Counsel for Amici Curiae

QUESTION PRESENTED

Whether the Fifth Circuit's re-endorsement of the University of Texas at Austin's use of racial preferences in undergraduate admissions decisions can be sustained under this Court's decisions interpreting the Equal Protection Clause of the Fourteenth Amendment, including *Fisher v. University of Austin at Texas*, 133 S. Ct. 2411 (2013).

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	Page(s)
QUESTI	ON PRESENTED i
TABLE (OF AUTHORITIESv
INTERE	ST OF AMICI CURIAE 1
AND GR ORGAN	CURIAE 39 UNDERGRADUATE ADUATE STUDENT IZATIONS WITHIN THE RSITY OF CALIFORNIA
	RY OF ARGUMENT5
ARGUM	ENT
TI 20 N EI	ALIFORNIA'S EXPERIENCES IN HE AFTERMATH OF PROPOSITION 19 DEMONSTRATE WHY RACE- EUTRAL POLICIES ARE NOT FFECTIVE IN ACHIEVING IVERSITY
A.	Almost Twenty Years Ago, California Banned Consideration of Race and Ethnicity in Connection with Admissions to the University of California
В.	The "Race-Neutral" Policies California Adopted as a Result of Proposition 209 Failed to Foster a Truly Diverse Student Body 14

	1.	Policies California Adopted in the Immediate Wake of Proposition 209 Did Not Achieve a Critical Mass of Diverse Students in California Schools	. 15
	2.	Strictly Race-Neutral Policies Have a Strikingly Negative Effect on Diversity at the University of California's Most	
	3.	Selective Schools	18
	0.	Geared Toward Socioeconomic Status Do Not Achieve and Cannot Approximate the Diversity Benefits of Race-Conscious Policies	. 25
II.	INTRARACTED PROMOTES ENVIRONMENT	D INTERRACIAL AND IAL DIVERSITY S A POSITIVE LEARNING IENT THAT ATTRACTS INS HIGHLY QUALIFIED	
		1.D: :C .	28
		ased Diversity Creates a Vibrant and Tolerant	
	Educa	ational Climate	29

	В.	Data Indicates Highly Qualified	
		Underrepresented Minority	
		Applicants Reject UC Schools in	
		Favor of Private Universities	
		with Admissions Policies that	
		Consider Race and Ethnicity	32
III.	IN T	HE AFTERMATH OF	
	PRO	POSITION 209, CALIFORNIA	
	HAS	LOST HIGHLY QUALIFIED	
	UND	ERREPRESENTED MINORITY	
	STU	DENTS	35
CON	CLUS	ION	37
APPI	ENDIX		App. 1

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES

Page(s)
CASES
Fisher v. Univ. of Tex., 133 S. Ct. 2411 (2013)
Grutter v. Bollinger, 539 U.S. 306 (2003)passim
Keyishian v. Bd. of Regents of Univ. of State of N.Y., 385 U.S. 589 (1967)28
Meredith v. Fair, 298 F.2d 696 (5th Cir. 1962)4
Parents Involved in Cmty. Schs.v. Seattle Sch. Dist. No. 1, 551 U.S. 701 (2007)
Regents of the Univ. of Cal. v. Bakke, 438 U.S. 265 (1978)
Schuette v. Coalition to Defend Affirmative Action, 134 S. Ct. 1623 (2014)
CONSTITUTION AND RULES
Cal. Const. art. I, § 31 (Proposition 209)13
Sup. Ct. R. 37.6

OTHER AUTHORITIES

Sigal Alon & Marta Tienda, Assessing the "Mismatch" Hypothesis: Differences in College Graduation
Rates by Institutional Selectivity, 78 Soc. Educ. 294 (2005)36
Elise Boddie, Commentary on Fisher: The Importance of Diversity Within Diversity, SCOTUSBLOG (Oct. 11, 2012, 10:50 AM), http://www.scotus blog.com/2012/10/commentary-on- fisher-the-importance-of-diversity- within-diversity
Deirdre M. Bowen, Brilliant Disguise:
An Empirical Analysis of a Social Experiment Banning Affirmative Action, 85 IND. L.J. 1197 (2010)
Grace Carroll et al., Those Who Got in the Door: The University of California-Berkeley's Affirmative Action Success Story, 69 J. NEGRO EDUC. 128 (2000)
Mitchell J. Chang et al., Cross-Racial Interaction Among Undergraduates: Some Consequences, Causes, and Patterns, 25 Res. Higher Educ. 529 (2004)
Amy DeVaudreuil, Review Essay, Silence at the California Law Review, 91 CAL. L. REV. 1183 (2003)
11eview, 31 OAL. L. NEV. 1100 (4000)19, 40

Education Trust, Opportunity Adrift:
Our Flagship Universities Are
Straying from Their Public Mission
(2010)24
(),
Patricia Gándara, California: A Case
Study in the Loss of Affirmative
Action, The Civil Rights
Project/Proyecto Derechos Civiles
(Aug. 8, 2012), http://civilrights
project.ucla.edu/research/college-
access/affirmative-action/california-
a-case-study-in-the-loss-of-
affirmative-action
William C. Kidder, Review Essay,
Silence, Segregation, and Student
Activism at Boalt Hall, 91 CAL. L.
REV. 1167 (2003)19
William C. Kidder, <i>Misshaping the</i>
River, 39 J. Col. & Univ. L. 53
(2013)passim
•
William C. Kidder & Patricia Gándara,
Two Decades After the Affirmative
Action Ban: Evaluating the
University of California's Race-
Neutral Efforts, Educational Testing
Service (Oct. 2015),
http://www.ets.org/Media/
Research/pdf/kidder_paper.pdfpassim
Mark C. Long, Changes in the Returns
to Education and College Quality, 29
ECON EDUC REV 338 (2010) 37

Tatiana Melguizo, Quality Matters:	
Assessing the Impact of Attending	
More Selective Institutions on	
College Completion Rates of	
Minorities, 49 Res. Higher Educ.	
214 (2008)	6
()	
Regents of the Univ. of Cal., Future	
Admissions, Employment, and	
Contracting Policies—Resolution	
Rescinding SP-1 and SP-2	
(2001), http://www.universityofcalifo	
rnia.edu/regents/regmeet/may01/re2	
8new.pdf	4
- · · · F · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
Cruz Reynoso & William C. Kidder,	
Tribal Membership and State Law	
Affirmative Action Bans: Can	
Membership in a Federally	
Recognized American Indian Tribe	
Be a Plus Factor in Admissions at	
Public Universities in California and	
Washington?, 27 CHICANO-LATINO L.	
REV. 29 (2008)	0
Victor B. Saenz et al., Factors	
Influencing Position Interactions	
Across Race for African American,	
Asian American, Latino, and White	
College Students, 48 Res. Higher	
EDUC. 1 (2007)3	0
== = · = · = · / ······················	_

José L. Santos et al., Is "Race-Neutral"	
Really Race-Neutral?: Disparate	
Impact Towards Underrepresented	
Minorities in Post-209 UC System	
Admissions, 81 J. Higher Educ. 605	
(2010)1	9
` '	
Jim Sidanius et al., The Diversity	
Challenge: Social Identity and	
Intergroup Relations on the College	
Campus (2008)	30
Mario L. Small & Christopher Winship,	
Black Students' Graduation from	
Elite Colleges: Institutional	
Characteristics and Between-	
Institution Differences, 36 Soc. Sci.	
Res. 1257 (2007)	36
Robert T. Teranishi & Kamilah Briscoe,	
Contextualizing Race: African	
American College Choice in an	
Evolving Affirmative Action Era, 77	
J. Negro Educ. 15 (2008)3	34
Univ. of Cal., Admissions, A-G Courses,	
http://admission.universityofcali-	
fornia.edu/freshman/requirements/a-	
g-requirements/index.html (last	
visited Oct. 31, 2015)1	6

Univ. of Cal., Admissions, <i>Local path</i> (ELC), http://admission. universityofcalifornia.edu/freshman/ california-residents/local- path/index.html (last visited Oct. 31, 2015)
Univ. of Cal. Office of the President, Student Academic Servs., Undergraduate Access to the
University of California After the
Elimination of Race-Conscious Policies (2003),
http://ucop.edu/student-
affairs/_files/aa_final2.pdf8, 14, 16
Univ. of Cal. Office of the President
Academic Affairs, Institutional
Research and Academic Planning,
University of California:
Application,Admissionsand
Enrollment of California Resident
Freshmen for Fall 1995 through
2014 (2015), http://www.ucop.edu/
news/factsheets/ 2014/flow-frosh-ca-
14.pdf <i>passim</i>

INTEREST OF AMICI CURIAE1

Amici curiae ("Amici") represent numerous graduate and undergraduate student-run organizations that operate within the University of California educational system ("UC system" or "University"). They reflect a broad cross-section of students, including undergraduate and graduate students at the prestigious Berkeley and Los Angeles ("UCLA") campuses, and students at the five selective, well-known law schools administered by the University at Berkeley, UCLA, Davis, Irvine, and UC Hastings College of the Law.

Many of the *Amici* actively seek ways to ensure that the State of California provides and promotes educational, professional, and social opportunities for individuals of all races, genders, ethnicities, religions, and nationalities who seek enrollment in the State's university system, with particular focus on underrepresented racial minorities. Other of the *Amici* address legal and policy issues that are of unique concern and which affect segments of California's broad, multicultural citizenry traditionally underrepresented in higher education.

Because of their status as UC students, Amici

¹ Pursuant to Rule 37.6, *Amici* certify that this brief was not written in whole or in part by counsel for any party, and that no person or entity other than *Amici*, their members, and their counsel have made any monetary contribution to the preparation and submission of this brief. This brief is filed with the parties' written consent, copies of which are on file with the Clerk of the Court.

are uniquely positioned to offer insights into why admissions policies that narrowly consider race as one of many factors, such as the policy before the Court, satisfy strict scrutiny under this Court's precedent interpreting the Equal Protection Clause of the Fourteenth Amendment, and therefore are constitutional. All of the Amici have been affected by California's 1996 ballot initiative known as 209," which "Proposition amended California's constitution to prohibit the State's undergraduate and graduate universities from considering race, ethnicity, or gender as any part of the admissions process. Amici have experienced the full range of adverse consequences that flow from such a prohibition.

Amici thus have a strong interest in bringing the California experience to the Court's attention to ensure that it is not replicated or enshrined in constitutional doctrine, given its failure to satisfy the State's compelling diversity interests. To avoid the negative consequences experienced in California, and because the admissions policies University of Texas Austin ("UT") at are constitutional, the decision of the Fifth Circuit should be upheld.

AMICI CURIAE 39 UNDERGRADUATE AND GRADUATE STUDENT ORGANIZATIONS WITHIN THE UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA*

- Armenian Law Students Association UC Berkeley School of Law
- Asian American Law Journal UC Berkeley School of Law
- Asian Pacific American Law Students Association UC Berkeley School of Law
- Berkeley Journal of African American Law and Policy – UC Berkeley School of Law
- Berkeley Journal of Gender, Law and Justice UC Berkeley School of Law
- Berkeley La Raza Law Journal UC Berkeley School of Law
- Boalt Hall Queer Caucus UC Berkeley School of Law
- Boalt Hall Student Association UC Berkeley School of Law
- Coalition for Diversity UC Berkeley School of Law
- First Generation Professionals UC Berkeley School of Law
- La Raza Law Students Association UC Berkeley School of Law
- National Lawyers Guild UC Berkeley School of

^{*} Names of universities are provided for identification only and do not imply endorsement by the Regents of the University of California. The missions and interests of the *Amici* that are signatories to this brief are set out in the accompanying Appendix.

Law

- South Asian Law Students Association UC Berkeley School of Law
- Women of Color Collective UC Berkeley School of Law
- Black Graduate Student Association UC Berkeley
- Society of Colombians UC Berkeley
- Black Law Students Association UCLA School of Law
- Chicana/o-Latina/o Law Review UCLA School of Law
- Disability Law Society UCLA School of Law
- La Raza Law Students Association UCLA School of Law
- National Black Law Journal UCLA School of Law
- National Lawyers Guild UCLA School of Law
- OUTLaw UCLA School of Law
- Filipino Law Students Association UC Davis School of Law
- La Raza Law Students Association UC Davis School of Law
- Lambda Law Students Association UC Davis School of Law
- Law Students Association UC Davis School of Law
- Asian Pacific American Law Students Association UC Hastings College of the Law
- Black Law Student Association UC Hastings College of the Law

- Chinese American Law Association UC Hastings College of the Law
- Hastings Students for Immigrants' Rights UC Hastings College of the Law
- La Raza Law Students Association UC Hastings College of the Law
- National Lawyers Guild UC Hastings College of the Law
- Pilipino American Law Society UC Hastings College of the Law
- Black Law Students Association UC Irvine School of Law
- Latina/o Law Student Association UC Irvine School of Law
- National Lawyers Guild UC Irvine School of Law
- OutLaw UC Irvine School of Law
- Women's Law Society UC Irvine School of Law

SUMMARY OF ARGUMENT

A university's promotion of diversity as a critical feature of its academic environment achieves the laudable goal of encouraging all students to knowledge of expand their the distinctive experiences that characterize individuals within both historically underrepresented minority groups, as well as ethnic and racial populations that have historically flourished in the collegiate setting. UT's enrollment program, which simply evaluates as one of many factors the prospective value that an individual candidate's ethnic or racial background presents to the educational experience of all other

UT students, is designed to accomplish this valuable and constitutionally sound goal.

UT's attempt to achieve the broadest forms of diversity throughout its campus system, including diversity within and among racial groups by careful evaluation of each candidate's many potential attributes, shows that its commitment to a holistic educational experience is sincere, and not driven by simplistic "racial balancing." UT is not enrolling students solely predicated upon their different racial and ethnic backgrounds. Nor is UT employing quotas. UT merely weighs, as one of many enrollment criteria, the educational value that candidates of different racial and ethnic experiences potentially offer other prospective and current students.

This is precisely the form of meaningful diversity that the Court embraced in Grutter v. Bollinger, 539 U.S. 306 (2003). Indeed, the strength of the admissions program upheld in Grutter was that it "focused on each applicant as an individual, and not simply as a member of a particular racial group." Parents Involved in Cmty. Schs. v. Seattle Sch. Dist. No. 1, 551 U.S. 701, 722 (2007). UT's admissions policy embraces holistic the commendable goal of ensuring that the panoply of individual experiences a candidate offers other students produces not merely the very best applicants for enrollment, but ultimately the very best collective assemblage of students within the university system itself. UT's policy seeks to ensure that its admitted students reflect the full diversity of Texas, with a wide range of perspectives and

experiences represented not just among the student body as a whole, but also among members of the same racial and ethnic groups. Put another way, UT's admissions policy strives for diversity within diversity.

Unfortunately, not every university system is as effective or enlightened as UT's. California's experience under Proposition 209 is representative of the negative consequences of adopting an admissions policy in which some aspects of diversity. particularly race and ethnicity, are ignored. Twelve years ago, this Court cited California's experiment under the strictures of Proposition 209 in noting that race-conscious admissions policies should evaluated periodically to determine if they "are still necessary to achieve student body diversity." Grutter, 539 U.S. at 342 (2003). Far from demonstrating that the time has come for the already limited consideration of race in process wholly admissions to be abandoned. Proposition 209 has vindicated the reasoned position of Justice Powell in Regents of the Univ. of Cal. v. Bakke, 438 U.S. 265, 315 (1978), affirmed by this Court in *Grutter*, that diversity remains important component of the educational experience. Ensuring a diverse student body is a compelling state interest, and it is therefore both proper and necessary for race and ethnicity to be weighed as one of many elements in the admissions process. Grutter, 539 U.S. at 351 (citing Bakke, 438 U.S. at 265 (Powell, J.)).

Empirical evidence shows that since its enactment, Proposition 209 has continued to

undermine UC's own constitutionally sound state interest in creating a truly diverse student body. Immediately after the Proposition's enactment, enrollment of underrepresented minority² students at UC schools plummeted. Although some schools have recovered to pre-Proposition 209 levels for enrollment of certain underrepresented minorities, they still fall far short of the "critical mass" that UT seeks to achieve in this case. Moreover, top schools like Berkeley and UCLA still have not recovered to pre-Proposition 209 levels of diversity—even as the State of California becomes increasingly diverse in its general population.

The lack of adequate diversity in the UC system has diminished the educational experiences of UC students and created campuses that are less hospitable to those underrepresented minority students who do choose to enroll, while simultaneously greatly narrowing their paths to leadership roles. Moreover, many highly qualified minority students who are admitted to UC's elite schools spurn these offers in favor of private universities with much more diverse student bodies. The resulting "brain drain" harms not just Amici and other UC students, but also the State as a

² "[U]nderrepresented minority" is a term defined by the University of California for resident groups that have "collectively achieved eligibility for the University . . . at a rate below 12.5 percent." These include African Americans, American Indians, and Chicanos/Latinos. Univ. of Cal. Office of the President, Student Academic Servs., *Undergraduate Access to the University of California After the Elimination of Race-Conscious Policies* 1 n.3 (2003), http://ucop.edu/student-affairs/_files/aa_final2.pdf(2003).

whole. These negative effects have occurred despite a wide array of race-neutral efforts by UC administrators to maintain diversity—many of which mirror UT's failed approaches prior to the adoption of its current holistic review. UC's race-neutral alternatives have not and cannot fully counteract the devastating effects of Proposition 209.

California's experience, including that of *Amici*, under Proposition 209 underscores why UT may constitutionally consider race as a part of a holistic admissions policy. Proposition 209 reveals the harms that may be *prevented* by UT's current admissions policies, and demonstrates why those policies satisfy strict scrutiny. As the Court advised states and campuses throughout the nation in *Grutter*, this Court today should continue to scrutinize and take heed from the negative experiences of the University of California when evaluating whether or not UT's admissions policy is, in fact, constitutional.

ARGUMENT

For nearly four decades, this Court has held that diversity is a compelling state interest that can be achieved through narrowly tailored means, a position not challenged by Plaintiff.³ See Fisher v. Univ. of Tex., 133 S. Ct. 2411, 2418-19 (2013)

³ Consistent with her first appearance before this Court, Petitioner does not now ask the Court to rule that diversity is invalid as a compelling interest. *See* Pet'r's Br. at 48 (requesting that the Court rule that consideration of race be a "last resort" but not seeking sweeping ruling against diversity).

("Fisher I"); Grutter, 539 U.S. at 325; Bakke, 438 U.S. at 314-15; see also Schuette v. Coalition to Defend Affirmative Action, 134 S. Ct. 1623 (2014) (noting both that "[i]n Fisher [I], the Court did not disturb the principle that the consideration of race in admissions is permissible, provided that certain conditions are met," and also that "[i]n this case, as in *Fisher*, that principle is not challenged"). Court recognizes that students of different backgrounds bring to campus "experiences, outlooks, and ideas that enrich the training of [a school's] student body and better equip its graduates to render with understanding their vital service to humanity." Bakke, 438 U.S. at 314. Achieving such rich diversity requires multi-factored and multifaceted analysis; it is not a simple numbers game. *Id.* at 315.

UT uses a nuanced strategy to reach its goal of diversity: it fills the vast majority of its freshman class by offering positions to the top ten percent of every high school's student body. It then fills the limited number of remaining spots by considering each applicant holistically. Race and ethnicity are among the many factors that admissions officers consider. This permits these decision-makers to more fully evaluate each applicant's entire range of attributes that may enrich the campus.

Broad diversity is important for a robust and enlightened academic setting. Indeed, "[t]he point of having broad diversity is to bring together people from different backgrounds so that they can experience each other as peers in a shared educational environment." See Elise Boddie,

Commentary on *Fisher*: The Importance of Diversity Within Diversity, SCOTUSBLOG (Oct. 11, 2012, 10:50 AM), http://www.scotusblog.com/2012/10/comm entary-on-fisher-the-importance-of-diversity-withindiversity. As Elise Boddie, former Director of of the NAACP Legal Defense Litigation Education Fund, noted when this case previously before the Court, fostering "diversity within diversity" provides "the opportunity for students to learn from, live with, and work alongside students from widely different backgrounds," and "helps students celebrate their differences and appreciate their similarities." Id.In short, "it advances the common-sense understanding that all people, including racial minorities, are unique in their own way." Id.

California's experience in the aftermath of Proposition 209 illustrates why the careful, limited approach taken by the University of Texas is and appropriate. necessary. constitutionally permissible. California has attempted to satisfy its compelling interest in diversity without considering race and ethnicity, but as discussed below, those attempts have fallen short. The State's race-neutral approaches have been expensive and administratively unworkable, and have failed to achieve a "critical mass" of underrepresented minority students in the University of California system, which not only "threaten[s] the educational benefits of diversity" but also "exacerbate[s] the harms of racial isolation." William C. Kidder & Patricia Gándara, Two Decades After the Affirmative Action Ban: Evaluating the University of California's Race-Neutral Efforts, Educational Testing Service,

29, Oct. 2015, available at http://www.ets.org/Media/Research/pdf/kidder_paper.pdf.⁴

- I. CALIFORNIA'S EXPERIENCES IN THE AFTERMATH OF PROPOSITION 209 DEMONSTRATE WHY RACE-NEUTRAL POLICIES ARE NOT EFFECTIVE IN ACHIEVING DIVERSITY.
 - A. Almost Twenty Years Ago, California Banned Consideration of Race and Ethnicity in Connection with Admissions to the University of California.

Prior to Proposition 209, California had a long history of considering diversity—including racial and ethnic diversity—in admissions decisions. In the early 1970s, the faculty of UC Davis School of Medicine initiated a "special admissions program" to remedy its lack of minority enrollees. 438 U.S. at 272. This Court struck down that particular program in *Bakke*. It nevertheless held that states have "a substantial interest that legitimately may be served by a properly devised admissions program involving the competitive consideration of race and ethnic origin." *Bakke*, 438 U.S. at 320 (Powell, J.). Following that decision, many public colleges and

⁴ William Kidder is presently Assistant Provost and Assistant Executive Vice Chancellor at the University of California, Riverside. Patricia Gándara is a Research Professor at the Graduate School of Education at the University of California, Los Angeles; she is also Co-Director of The Civil Rights Project at UCLA. Dr. Gándara and Mr. Kidder have each researched diversity within the University of California system and the effects of Proposition 209 for many years.

universities, including California schools, continued to consider race as one of many factors in college admissions.

In 1995, however, the Regents of the University of California reversed course, adopting a resolution ("SP-1") that prohibited the University from considering race or ethnicity in admissions decisions.⁵ The following year, California voters passed Proposition 209, which amended the California Constitution to prohibit preferential treatment on the basis of race, sex, and ethnicity in public employment, public contracting, and public education, including the University of California system.⁶

In response, the University abandoned any consideration of race or ethnicity in its admissions process, and "the entire apparatus of admissions within the University had to be changed." See Patricia Gándara, California: A Case Study in the Loss of Affirmative Action, The Civil Rights

⁵ SP-1 and a companion resolution were rescinded in 2001, years after Proposition 209 took effect. Regents of the Univ. of Cal., *Future Admissions, Employment, and Contracting Policies—Resolution Rescinding SP-1 and SP-2* (2001), http://www.universityofcalifornia.edu/regents/regmeet/may01/re28new.pdf. The repealing resolution, while acknowledging the limitations that Proposition 209 imposes, did note that the Regents intended for the University to "seek out and enroll, on each of its campuses, a student body . . . that encompasses the broad diversity of backgrounds characteristic of California." *Id*.

 $^{^6}$ See Cal. Const. art. I, § 31. For ease of reference, Amici here refer generally to Proposition 209, noting where relevant the earlier University of California-specific ban.

Project/Proyecto Derechos Civiles 3 (Aug. 8, 2012), http://civilrightsproject.ucla.edu/research/college-access/affirmative-action/california-a-case-study-in-the-loss-of-affirmative-action. The University of California system thus experimented "with a wide variety of alternative approaches." *Grutter*, 539 U.S. at 343. Despite its implementation of these alternatives, the University's inability to consider an applicant's race or ethnicity had an immediate and prolonged negative effect on UC's student body.

B. The "Race-Neutral" Policies California Adopted as a Result of Proposition 209 Failed to Foster a Truly Diverse Student Body.

When the University of California banned the consideration of race in the admissions process, it experienced "substantial declines in the proportion of entering students who are African American. American Indian, and Latino." *Undergraduate* Access, supra note 2, at 28. For much of the last two decades, the University has attempted, through a wide range of "race neutral alternatives," to undo the by Proposition 209. These damage caused "alternatives" include, among other things, "percentage plan" like the one used by the UT; a variation of "holistic" review without the ability to consider race or ethnicity; academic preparation programs; automatic transfer programs; targeted recruitment to encourage underrepresented minority admits to matriculate; and a focus on socioeconomic See Gándara, supra, at 10-18. programs have curtailed some of the negative effects of Proposition 209, but they have failed to support

the University of California's compelling diversity interest.

1. The "Race-Neutral" Policies California Adopted in the Immediate Wake of Proposition 209 Did Not Achieve a Critical Mass of Diverse Students in California Schools.

California's first race-neutral plan aimed to connect with students early by "increas[ing] the preparation and enrollment of 'educationally disadvantaged' students." Gándara, supra, at 10. The outreach program paired underperforming high with nearby University campuses and student outreach efforts invested further in with supervision from University faculty. See id. at 10-11. From 1998 to 2001, the Legislature increased funding for this program, adding tens of millions of dollars to bring it to fruition. See id. at 4, 11; Kidder & Gándara, supra, at 3-4 (chart). At the program's height, total University and State expenditures on the program passed the \$100 million mark. Kidder & Gándara, *supra*, at 3. That level of support was not sustainable, however, and by the early 2000s, funding began "a steady and precipitous decline." See Gándara, supra, at 11. What was meant as a term strategy" for reaching throughout their primary and secondary education could not reverse the losses caused by Proposition 209 within the few years in which it was adequately funded. "[D]isillusion with the strategy" set in. Id. at 12.

More modest programs, given more time to succeed, have likewise failed. For example, the initial version of a percentage plan, known as "Eligibility in the Local Context" ("ELC") offered students in the top four percent of their class admission to a University campus (though not necessarily the one of their choosing).⁷ The initial ELC plan failed to substantially increase the presence of underrepresented minority students: most who would have benefited from the program already qualified for admission.8 See id. at 13-14; see also Undergraduate Access, supra note 2, at 25. In the end, this program "did not increase diversity by any discernible amount." Kidder & Gándara, supra, at 23. Similarly, a racially and ethnically

⁷ To be considered for freshman admission to the UC system (*i.e.*, "eligible"), a California resident must either: (1) complete fifteen high school courses ("a-g") with a grade of C or better; or (2) complete college courses or earn certain scores on SAT, Advanced Placement, or International Baccalaureate exams in the a-g course subject areas. Univ. of Cal., Admissions, *A-G Courses*, http://admission.universityofcalifornia.edu/freshman/requirements/a-g-requirements/index.html (last visited Oct. 31, 2015).

⁸ In the current version of the ELC program, students in the top nine percent of their high school senior class (in a participating California high school), based on a GPA calculated by the University from a standardized set of courses, are awarded "ELC status," which "adds value to the application and is one of the 14 factors considered when applications are reviewed." Univ. of Cal., Admissions, *Local path (ELC)*, http://admission.universityofcalifornia.edu/freshman/california-residents/local-path/index.html (last visited Oct. 31, 2015). As with the prior version of the program, it "has not been especially successful," likely because "many of the schools from which [the University] would hope to draw a more diverse pool of students neither prepare nor encourage their students to apply" to the University. Kidder & Gándara, *supra*, at 23.

blind "quasi-holistic" review program had "weak effects" in increasing diversity of underrepresented minorities precisely because of the inability "to consider race and its concomitant effects on [an applicant's] competitiveness." Gándara, *supra*, at 13.

Another, still-active program permits certain high-performing students to transfer to a University campus after completing coursework at a two-year community college. But this too has failed to significantly further the University's interest: from 2006 through 2014, for example, the University's incoming transfer class had an even lower composite proportion of African American, Latino, and American Indian students than the incoming freshman class.⁹ See Univ. of Cal. Office of President. Academic Affairs. Institutional Research and Academic Planning, University of California: Application, Admissions and Enrollment of California Resident Freshmen for Fall 1995 through 2014, (2015),http://www.ucop.edu/news/factsheets/ 2014/flowfrosh-ca-14.pdf; Univ. of Cal. Office of the President, Academic Affairs, Institutional Research Academic Planning, University of California: Application, Admissions and**Enrollment** California Resident Transfers for Fall 1995 through 2014, 1 (2015), http://www.ucop.edu/news/factsheets/ 2014/flow-trans-ca-14.pdf. Such programs have not proven to substitute for the consideration of race and

⁹ The transfer program is also necessarily limited in its ability to create a beneficially diverse environment, as transfer students are absent from freshman and sophomore classes. *See* Kidder & Gándara, *supra*, at 26.

ethnicity in admissions, given the "notoriously low" transfer and completion rates for California's community colleges. In fact, only about 17% of underrepresented minority students attending a California community college who intend to transfer to a four-year institution do so within six years. Kidder & Gándara, *supra*, at 26.

Since California banned consideration of race and ethnicity in University admissions, the "level of access" for underrepresented minority students otherwise qualified to attend the University has declined relative to other students. Kidder & Gándara, supra, at 34. The range of "race-neutral" approaches adopted by the University to date are revealing in their failure to foster a student body that achieves the University's, and the State's, compelling interest in diversity. *Id.* While some UC schools have returned—after many years—to their pre-Proposition 209 diversity levels, the ill effects of Proposition 209 are felt acutely, as discussed below. on the University's most selective campuses. In light of this twenty-year history, further experiments are not likely to produce "workable race-neutral alternatives." Grutter, 539 U.S. at 339; cf. Fisher I, 133 S. Ct. at 2421.

> 2. Strictly Race-Neutral Policies Have a Strikingly Negative Effect on Diversity at the University of California's Most Selective Schools.

Proposition 209 has had the most drastic effects on the two most selective of California's University campuses: UC Berkeley and UCLA.

After the Proposition's enactment, African American undergraduate enrollment dropped dramatically at Berkeley and UCLA among California residents, with freshman enrollment at UC Berkeley more than halving between 1997 and 1998. 10 University of California: Application, Admissions and Enrollment of California Resident Freshmen for Fall 1995 through 2014, supra, at 2. For example:

- At UCLA, African American undergraduate enrollment dropped by more than 37%, from 5.6% to 3.5% of the freshman class during the same period. *Id.* at 5.
- The proportion of African American freshman students enrolling at UCLA has still not returned to pre-Proposition 209 levels *Id*.
- At UC Berkeley, African American undergraduate enrollment has hovered

¹⁰ See, e.g., William C. Kidder, Review Essay, Silence, Segregation, and Student Activism at Boalt Hall, 91 CAL. L. REV. 1167, 1173 (2003) (noting the "staggeringly low" levels of minority representation in the student body at UC Berkeley's Boalt Hall School of Law after passage of Proposition 209); Amy DeVaudreuil, Review Essay, Silence at the California Law Review, 91 CAL. L. REV. 1183, 1197-1200 (2003) (regarding minority representation on the law review). UC Berkeley, like UCLA, is "hyper-selective" and is able to admit far fewer than one-fifth of eligible California resident applicants from a very accomplished applicant pool. See University of California: Application, Admissions and Enrollment of California Resident Freshmen for Fall 1995 through 2014, supra at 2, 5; see also José L. Santos et al., Is "Race-Neutral" Really Race-Neutral?: Disparate Impact Towards Underrepresented Minorities in Post-209 UC System Admissions, 81 J. Higher Educ. 605, 610 (2010).

between approximately 3% and 4% between 1998 and 2014, far below pre-Proposition 209 levels, which was approximately 6.5%. *Id.* at 2.

American Indian undergraduate enrollment also languishes far below pre-Proposition 209 levels. California is home to a significant portion of the American Indian population; nearly 1 out of every 6 American Indians is a California resident. Cruz Reynoso & William C. Kidder, *Tribal Membership and State Law Affirmative Action Bans: Can Membership in a Federally Recognized American Indian Tribe Be a Plus Factor in Admissions at Public Universities in California and Washington?*, 27 CHICANO-LATINO L. REV. 29, 30 (2008).¹¹ But the statistics related to American Indian enrollment trends at these schools are alarming:

- In 1995, both UC Berkeley and UCLA enrolled American Indian students in far greater numbers than the years following Proposition 209. University of California: Application, Admissions and Enrollment of California Resident Freshmen for Fall 1995 through 2014, supra, at 2, 5.
- As of 2014, American Indian undergraduate enrollment at UC Berkeley and UCLA still remains over 45% lower than pre-Proposition 209 levels. *Id.*

 $^{^{11}}$ Cruz Reynoso, a former Associate Justice of the California Supreme Court, is Professor Emeritus of Law at UC Davis's King Hall School of Law.

• In each and every year under Proposition 209 (1998 through 2014), there were fewer American Indian freshmen in the University system compared to 1995, even though the size of the overall freshmen class increased by more than half—from approximately 22,000 in 1995 to a peak of approximately 34,500 in 2008. 12 Id. at 1.

As with African American and American Indian students, Latino undergraduate enrollment also fell precipitously in the wake of Proposition 209 at Berkeley and UCLA:

- At UC Berkeley, Latino enrollment dropped from 16.9% to 8.2% of the freshman class—a staggering 52% decline in the years between 1995 and 1998. *Id*.
- By 1998, freshmen admissions offers for Latino students had dropped by 54% at UC Berkeley and by 46% at UCLA.¹³ Kidder &

¹² Most recently, American Indians represented only 0.6% of the University's incoming class, out of a class of approximately 33,800. Proportionally, American Indian freshman enrollment therefore dropped by 45%, from 1.1% to 0.6% between 1995 (pre-Proposition 209) and 2014. University of California: Application, Admissions and Enrollment of California Resident Freshmen for Fall 1995 through 2014, supra, at 1.

¹³ Admissions rates at UC Berkeley and UCLA for Latino students "only eclipsed 1995 levels in 2014." Kidder & Gándara *supra*, at 16. Although that trend, if it is not an anomaly, may be positive on its own, it remains true that the gap between Latino high school graduation rates and UC admissions rates, as set forth below, continues to grow,

Gándara, supra, at 16.

The proportion of Latino California public high school graduates almost doubled between 1990 (23%) and 2010 (44%), and has been increasing since then. See William C. Kidder, Misshaping the River, 39 J. Col. & Univ. L. 53, 88 (2013); Kidder & Gándara, at 21-22. As Latino students approach half of all California high school graduates, they constitute only one-fifth of UC Berkeley and UCLA admits (and just under 30% of University admits system-wide). ¹⁴ See Kidder & Gándara, supra, at 21-22: University ofCalifornia: Application, Admissions and Enrollment of California Resident Freshmen for Fall 1995 through 2014, supra, at 2, 5. Indeed, the "magnitude of the gap between Latinos" proportion of public high school graduates and UC freshman offers" widened by a whopping 68% between 1995 and 2014. Kidder & Gándara, supra, at 16.

These racial and ethnic imbalances in the University system undermine the State's ability to

demonstrating the continuing negative effects of Proposition 209.

¹⁴ Although the act establishing the University in 1868 required "the Regents, according to population, to so apportion the representation of students, when necessary, that all portions of the State shall enjoy equal privileges therein," Kidder & Gándara, supra, at 1 (quoting the Organic Act), Amici note California's demographic trends not to suggest that UC enrollment must mirror the State's diversity in lockstep, but to place the percentage of Latinos enrolled in proper context. Some of Petitioner's amici "tend to obfuscate this important demographic driver of enrollment change when touting Prop[osition] 209." Kidder, Misshaping the River, supra, at 88.

achieve a truly diverse set of students, and thus to train a set of leaders from every race and ethnicity in the community. The imbalances also affect graduate school admissions. Immediately following the passage of Proposition 209, the number of African American applicants dropped dramatically at the University's most selective law schools. Misshaping the River, supra, at 85; DeVaudreuil, *supra*, at 1197-1200. Between 1996 and 1998, African American applicants to Berkeley Law and UCLA School of Law dropped by over two-fifths, and significant drops occurred at UC Davis School of Kidder, Misshaping the Law, and UC Hastings. River, supra, at 85. Nearly fifteen years later, despite robust outreach efforts and increasingly diverse State demographics, applications by African Americans to UC law schools have remained below pre-Proposition 209 levels. See id. at 86; University of California: Application, Admissions Enrollment of California Resident Freshmen for Fall 1995 through 2014, supra, at 1.

President and Chancellors University recently acknowledged to this Court several shortcomings in the University's professional programs, including that African graduate American, Latino, and American Indian students together constituted only 5.3% of the students enrolled in 2012 in the University's business schools. That is a rate much less than half the proportion enrolled at peer schools around the country (12.8%). See Brief Amicus Curiae of the President and Chancellors of the University of California as Amicus Curiae in Support of Respondents, Schuette v. Coalition to Defend Affirmative Action, No. 12-682,

2013). The President and at 26 (Aug. 30. Chancellors also reported that African American graduate business students comprised just 1.3% of enrolled business students in the 2012-13 school year. Id. Worse yet, "during five of the last seven academic years, one or more of [the University's] six business schools enrolled no African-American students at all." Id. at 26-27. The University's law and medical schools were similar: from 2001 to 2011, there were "certain years" in which the UC Irvine medical and law schools, the UCLA medical school, and the UC San Diego medical school did not have a single African American student. *Id.* at 27.

Along these lines, the gap between the racial and ethnic composition of California high school graduates and the makeup of the UC Berkeley student body was greater than every flagship state public university across the country except five, all of which are located in the Deep South and have dehistorical legacies of iure segregation. Trust, See Education Opportunity Adrift: Flagship Universities Are Straying from Their Public Mission 18 (2010); Meredith v. Fair, 298 F.2d 696, 701 (5th Cir. 1962). These statistics are critical because where a student goes to school can greatly affect that student's likelihood of graduating. For instance, 80% of University students system-wide graduate within six years, with 88-90% of students at the more selective Berkeley and UCLA campuses Kidder & Gándara, supra, at 1. doing so. contrast, students who attend a less selective fouryear public campus have only a 45% chance of graduating within the same timeframe. *Id*. 17% of community college students will even transfer to a four-year institution, and fewer still will earn their degree. *Id*.

These statistics are most troubling in light of this Court's observation in Grutter that "[i]n order to cultivate a set of leaders with legitimacy in the eyes of the citizenry, it is necessary that the path to leadership be visibly open to talented and qualified individuals of every race and ethnicity." 539 U.S. at 332. Yet in California, as a result of the difficulty underrepresented minority students have accessing the University system and its most selective campuses, the pathway to leadership is increasingly difficult for potential candidates to see. For those who do attend the top schools, the limited representation of minority viewpoints fails to provide the "exposure to widely diverse people, cultures, ideas, and viewpoints" that this Court in Grutter recognized is necessary to develop "the skills needed in today's increasingly global marketplace." Id. at 330-31. Racially neutral admissions policies have failed to achieve the diversity on University campuses critical for California's future. Moreover, such policies have in many instances fostered an environment of racial isolation inconsistent with the State's compelling interest in diversity.

> 3. Admissions Policies Geared Toward Socioeconomic Status Do Not Achieve and Cannot Approximate the Diversity Benefits of Race-Conscious Policies.

Petitioner and certain *amici* contend that greater use of race-neutral programs based on

socioeconomic status will further the University of Texas's interest in diversity. See, e.g., Fisher Opening Br. at 24 ("UT could have achieved similar gains through a number of race-neutral means, such as . . . making greater use of socioeconomic preferences"); Br. Amicus Curiae Pacific Legal Foundation at 24-25; Br. Amicus Curiae Richard D. Kahlenburg at 23-24. California's experience following Proposition 209 suggests that such programs will not have that effect.

Policies related to socioeconomic status cannot approximate the salutary diversity effects of the limited and appropriate consideration of race. This is evident from California's experience, because the University presents "close to an optimal 'natural experiment" to test whether socioeconomic-based admissions policies can create an underrepresented minority presence that meaningfully advances the State's diversity interest in any context. Misshaping the River, supra, at 114. The University has a much higher percentage of low-income students than other schools around the country: almost one in three University students is a Pell Grant¹⁵ recipient—double the proportion at the University's peer schools. *Id.* The University also invests substantially in the education of low-income

by the U.S. government to undergraduate students to promote access to postsecondary education. U.S. Dep't of Educ., Federal Pell Grant Program, http://www2.ed.gov/programs/fpg/index.html (last visited Oct. 31, 2015). According to these numbers, the University should be able to achieve the broad diversity it desires under a "socioeconomic status" plan. As the empirical data bear out, however, that has not been the case.

students: 90% of its financial grants and scholarships are need-based, and students have access to both State and school grants. See id. at 115. Despite these efforts, the decline in the admission and enrollment of underrepresented minority students immediately following the enactment of Proposition 209—and continued failure to recover at the State's top public schools, demonstrate that socioeconomic and racial diversity are not coextensive. See Id. at 114.

An admissions policy based on socioeconomic status cannot account for the "multigenerational effects" of past racial discrimination and denied See Gándara, supra, at 14. opportunity. For example, low-income some students may nevertheless have the benefit of growing up in communities with stronger schools. Others. particularly those from racial groups traditionally well-represented among the ranks of college graduates, may have comparatively well-educated parents and relatives able to guide them through By contrast, low-income college preparation. students from historically underrepresented groups may not have such advantages. See id. 15-16.

Furthermore, socioeconomic status cannot account for the vast array of life experiences and perspectives held by members of the same race. As *Grutter* recognized, a faithful implementation of the compelling diversity interest must include steps to combat any "stereotype[]" that "minority students always (or even consistently) express some characteristic minority viewpoint on any issue." *Grutter*, 539 U.S. at 333 (quoting Br. for

Respondent). For this reason, indicia of socioeconomic status are best considered alongside—not in lieu of—information about an applicant's race.

II. INCREASED INTERRACIAL AND INTRARACIAL DIVERSITY PROMOTES A POSITIVE LEARNING ENVIRONMENT THAT ATTRACTS AND RETAINS HIGHLY QUALIFIED STUDENTS.

A diverse campus promotes a positive learning environment because it exposes students to the varied cultures that comprise our nation. Using a holistic approach that pursues both interracial and *intra*racial diversity (i.e., "diversity within diversity") gives students better access to a truly diverse learning environment. Achieving this goal is critical: the "nation's future depends upon leaders trained through wide exposure' to the ideas and mores of students as diverse as this Nation." Bakke, 438 U.S. at 313 (quoting Keyishian v. Bd. of Regents of Univ. of State of N.Y., 385 U.S. 589, 603 (1967)).

Data available from the UC system and other schools around the country demonstrates the many and varied positive effects that flow from promoting campus diversity as a component of the school's educational program. Beyond mere numbers, it leads to a better racial climate and draws highly-qualified applicants to campuses. The flipside, as experienced by the UC system after Proposition 209, is a decrease in racial tolerance on campuses and a disturbing "chilling effect"—the flight of highly qualified underrepresented minority candidates to

more diverse private institutions. 16

A. Increased Diversity Creates a More Vibrant and Tolerant Educational Climate.

Considering the values attendant to both interracial and intraracial diversity is crucial to achieving the full educational benefits promoted by "diversity within diversity" on campus. First, considering intraracial diversity as one element in admissions decisions can ensure that minority students on campus are internally diverse, dispelling stereotypes by revealing the vast differences between students within various groups. *Grutter*, 539 U.S. at 333; Boddie, *supra*, at 1.

In addition, intraracial diversity increases opportunities for cross-racial interactions on campus by ensuring that all students engage in the full array of classes, extracurricular activities, interest groups, and conversations that form the building blocks of campus life. Importantly, fostering cross-racial interaction in all of its different forms must be a nuanced process as the student body becomes increasingly diverse. See Mitchell J. Chang et al., Cross-Racial Interaction Among Undergraduates: Some Consequences, Causes, and Patterns, 45 RES. HIGHER EDUC. 529, 545 (2004).

¹⁶ Consistent with the "chilling effect" on enrollment of underrepresented minority students, the UC system experienced an immediate and drastic decline in freshman applications by underrepresented minorities, in what some call an "anticipatory chilling effect" to Proposition 209 between 1995 and 1997. Kidder & Gándara, *supra*, at 13-14.

As foreseen and anticipated by this Court, increasing the frequency of cross-racial interactions has enormous positive effects on students. example, higher levels of such interactions lead to greater cognitive development, higher graduation rates, growth in leadership skills, and higher levels *Id.* at 530. In addition, the of civic interest. presence of diverse peers enhances students' democratic skills and ability to negotiate differences. Victor B. Saenz et al., Factors Influencing Position Interactions Across Race for African American, Asian American, Latino, and White College Students, 48 RES. HIGHER EDUC. 1, 36 (2007). Indeed, even students with positive ethnic attitudes or high interethnic contact at the beginning of their college experience can prevent the later development of any undesirable biases because a diverse educational setting promotes new interethnic interactions and friendships. Jim Sidanius et al., The Diversity Challenge: Social Identity and Intergroup Relations on the College Campus 196-97 (2008) (citing a landmark social sciences study of UCLA students who enrolled in the fall of 1997 and evaluated through their graduation four to five years later).

Data from UC and other institutions also demonstrates the need for a diverse student body to ensure a racially tolerant learning environment, which is essential to recruiting and retaining diverse students, and to ensuring their ultimate success:

 Across the UC system, from 2008 to 2010, only 62.2% of African American students and 77.2% of Latinos reported feeling that students of their race are respected on campus. See Kidder, Misshaping the River, supra, at 61-62.

- By contrast, 92.6% of white students on UC campuses and 96.4% of whites at UT reported feeling accepted. *Id*.
- At UT, where race is considered in admissions, 72.3% of African American and 89.9% of Latino students reported feeling respected on campus. *Id*.

Moreover, at least one empirical study revealed that banning any consideration of race in admissions decisions is linked to higher levels of negative experiences for minority students. Deirdre M. Bowen, Brilliant Disguise: An Empirical Analysis of a Social Experiment Banning Affirmative Action, 85 IND. L.J. 1197, 1221 (2010). For example, students attending schools that do not consider race in admissions are nearly twice as likely to experience overt racism compared to students who attended schools that permitted the consideration of race. Id. Students in more diverse classrooms are "least likely to: (1) encounter overt racism from faculty and students; (2) have their qualifications questioned; (3) feel pressure to succeed because of race; and (4) feel faculty have lower expectations of them." *Id.* at 1243. These same students are also "most likely to: (1) believe that neither faculty nor students thought minority students got into college because of affirmative action; (2) say they fit into the college population . . . ; (3) rate their ability to succeed as high; and (4) feel encouraged to speak about their career aspirations." Id. Again, these are exactly the

goals that this Court's precedent anticipated would be promoted by valuing diversity as one of many factors in the admissions process.

B. Data Indicates Highly Qualified Underrepresented Minority Applicants Reject UC Schools in Favor of Private Universities with Admissions Policies that Consider Race and Ethnicity.

This Court made clear in *Grutter* that "[i]n order to cultivate a set of leaders with legitimacy in the eyes of the citizenry, it is necessary that the path to leadership be visibly open to talented and qualified individuals of every race and ethnicity." 539 U.S. at 332. While that path may be available to some highly qualified underrepresented minority candidates in the UC system, many of those candidates enroll instead in private universities with more diverse campuses. This trend has hurt the State of California as a whole, creating a *de facto* "brain drain" of future leaders for the State.

Data indicates that Proposition 209 had a strong "chilling effect" on underrepresented minority enrollment. In the past decade under Proposition 209, African Americans in the top third of the admissions pool were twice as likely as UC prospects to enroll at a private selective institution that embraced affirmative action in its admissions process. Kidder, *Misshaping the River*, *supra*, at 80-82. In fact, since Proposition 209, among UC Berkeley, UC Davis, UC San Diego, and UC Santa Barbara, there were twelve instances where *not a*

single one of the African Americans in the top third of the prospective admissions pool enrolled. *Id.* at 77.

In addition. underrepresented minority students in the top third of the University of California's freshman admits are increasingly more likely than non-underrepresented minority students to choose a private selective university over a UC school.¹⁷ *Id.* at 70. In 1998, for example, only about 16% of top underrepresented minority applicants opted to attend a selective private university. *Id.* at 80 (table 2). By 2008, the proportion of top underrepresented minority applicants who chose to attend selective private universities grew to 34%. In contrast, in 1998, only about 11% of nonminority applicants spurned UC. And by 2008, that percentage rose to only about 19%. *Id*.

The "no show" rate is particularly high for the most qualified African American students. *Over half* of African Americans in the top third of UC's 2005 freshman admit pool chose to attend a private selective university, while only 26.1% of African American admits in this same group opted to attend a UC school. Susan A. Wilbur, *Investigating the College Destinations of University of California Freshman Admits*, in EQUAL OPPORTUNITY IN

¹⁷ Top third refers to students offered admission to the University of California possessing academic credentials among the top one-third of all students offered admission to the UC system. This top third of students generally has the best enrollment choices within the UC system and at other institutions, such as elite private universities. Kidder, *Misshaping the River, supra*, at 71.

HIGHER EDUCATION: THE PAST AND FUTURE OF CALIFORNIA'S PROPOSITION 209, 63, 72 (Eric Grodsky & Michal Kurlaender eds., 2010).¹⁸

The loss of these top underrepresented minority admits hampers the University's ability to produce graduates equipped to lead California's increasingly diverse citizenry. "[N]umerous studies show that student body diversity . . . better prepares students for an increasingly diverse workforce and society, and better prepares them as professionals." Grutter, 539 U.S. at 330 (internal quotation marks omitted). And, as noted above, campus diversity correlates with a more racially tolerant climate. California's experience under Proposition indicates that underrepresented minority students are keenly aware of these benefits and have been "voting with their feet" in rejecting UC offers of admissions in favor of more diverse institutions. See Robert Т. Teranishi & Kamilah Contextualizing Race: African American College Choice in an Evolving Affirmative Action Era, 77 J. NEGRO EDUC. 15, 23 (2008). In fact, the UC Regents specifically recognized this chilling effect when they rescinded SP-1 (the precursor to Proposition 209) in 2001, explaining that the resolution caused some "individuals [to] perceive that the University does not welcome their enrollment at its campuses." Future Admissions, supra note 5, at 1.

 $^{^{18}}$ Of the remaining 25%, 1.9% enrolled in California State University and 3.8% enrolled in a private non-selective university. For 17.5% of the admitted students, their college destination was unknown. Wilbur, supra, at 72.

The resultant "brain drain" affects not only the remaining students who do enroll at UC, but also the State as a whole. Many of these highly qualified individuals leave California in favor of more hospitable out-of-state institutions. Indeed, universities can "survive but not thrive without diversity." Grace Carroll et al., Those Who Got in the Door: The University of California-Berkeley's Affirmative Action Success Story, 69 J. NEGRO EDUC. 128, 140 (2000) (qualitative study of African American, Chicano/Latino, and Filipino students at UC Berkeley in the 1980s and 1990s).

III. IN THE AFTERMATH OF PROPOSITION 209, CALIFORNIA HAS LOST HIGHLY QUALIFIED UNDERREPRESENTED MINORITY STUDENTS.

amiciSome suggest that race-neutral approaches actually benefit underrepresented minority candidates because those candidates are better "matched" to less selective institutions. See. e.g., Br. Amicus Curiae Gail Heriot & Peter N. Kirsanow, at 22-25; Br. Amicus Curiae Pacific Legal Foundation et al., at 17-21. This so-called "mismatch" theory implies that underrepresented minority admits to more selective institutions, lacking the requisite elite "credentials" (such as higher GPAs or standardized test scores), struggle throughout their academic careers. That is, underrepresented minority students at elite institutions are unable to keep up with their peers, lose confidence, and either perform poorly or drop out altogether. See Br. Amicus Curiae Gail Heriot & Peter N. Kirsanow, at 22-24.

But studies provide various empirical evidence that the "mismatch" theory is nothing more Indeed, underrepresented minority than a myth. students graduate at higher rates when they attend selective institutions. See, e.g., Sigal Alon & Marta Tienda, Assessing the "Mismatch" Hypothesis: inCollegeGraduationRates*Differences* Institutional Selectivity, 78 Soc. Educ. 294, 309 (2005) (rebutting the "mismatch" hypothesis by finding that minorities' likelihood of graduation increased as selectivity of institution attended rose); Tatiana Melguizo, Quality Matters: Assessing the Impact of Attending More Selective Institutions on College Completion Rates of Minorities, 49 Res. HIGHER EDUC. 214, 217 (2008) (finding that minority students who were admitted to highly selective institutions under affirmative action policies were more likely to graduate).

Notably, one study found that selectivity was an important factor with a statistically significant effect on African American graduation rates. Mario L. Small & Christopher Winship, *Black Students' Graduation from Elite Colleges: Institutional Characteristics and Between-Institution Differences*, 36 Soc. Sci. Res. 1257, 1272 (2007). Not only did it increase the probability of graduation for African American students, it also helped African American students more than their white counterparts. *Id.*

Ultimately, higher graduation rates of underrepresented minority students from selective institutions lead to higher returns in the labor market. A study analyzing cohorts of students from the 1970s to the 1990s found "increasing labor"

market returns to both years of education and college quality." Mark C. Long, Changes in the Returns to Education and College Quality, 29 Econ. EDUC. REV. 338, 346 (2010). Attending a higher quality college increases the likelihood of graduating and increases earning power, particularly among African Americans and Latinos. Id. It follows that admissions prohibits system that consideration of race and ethnicity—like that in the University of California—leads to harms far beyond inhibiting the growth of campus diversity, with the student body, the community, and the State's economy also bearing the brunt of such policies. California's experience under Proposition underscores the wisdom of this Court's prior precedent appreciating that diversity has important role in the admissions process, and in producing a more well-rounded and educated populace.

CONCLUSION

This Court need not speculate as to what a state university system might look like where the values attendant with considering diversity in a race-neutral fashion are eliminated. The UC example in the wake of Proposition 209 illustrates that race-neutral admissions policies do not foster a truly diverse educational environment—an impact felt more acutely on more selective campuses—and they severely narrow pathways to leadership in the State. Texas has designed a narrowly tailored scheme to avoid that undesirable effect, and for that reason its efforts survive constitutional review.

Taken together, the data and the policy implications from the University of California's experience under Proposition 209 demonstrate that racial and ethnic diversity are necessary components of a thoughtful admissions plan. *Amici* urge the Court to look once again to the University of California experience to understand the limits of relying upon good-faith, race-neutral efforts alone, and to reject any argument that would potentially result in the imposition of those failed restrictions throughout the land.

Respectfully submitted,

Kimberly Thomas Rapp Keith Wurster Travis Silva LAWYERS' COMMITTEE FOR CIVIL RIGHTS OF THE SAN FRANCISCO BAY AREA 131 Steuart Street Suite 400 San Francisco, CA 94105 (415) 543-9697

Monte Cooper
Counsel of Record
Robert A. Rosenfeld
Andrew Ardinger
Amisha R. Patel
Naomi J. Mower
ORRICK, HERRINGTON &
SUTCLIFFE LLP
1000 Marsh Road
Menlo Park, CA 94025
(650) 614-7400
mcooper@orrick.com

Counsel for Amici Curiae

App. 1

APPENDIX*

AMICI NAME	ORGANIZATIONAL MISSION
Armenian Law	The mission of the Armenian
Students	Law Students Association at
Association –	Berkeley Law is to provide a
UC Berkeley	platform for community-building
School of Law	at Berkeley Law for students of
	Armenian descent and those
	interested in Armenian culture
	as well as to educate the greater
	community about Armenian
	culture, history, government, and
	legal issues of interest to the
	Armenian community.
Asian American	In advancement of the Asian
Law Journal –	American movement, the Asian
UC Berkeley	American Law Journal (AALJ)
School of Law	recognizes the diversity among
	Asian American and Pacific
	Islander communities and
	cultivates scholarship that
	promotes understanding and
	empowerment to foster resistance
	to oppression and achievement of
	justice. In solidarity with all
	peoples who have been
	subordinated, AALJ publishes
	works that address issues
	relating to all marginalized
	communities.

^{*} Names of universities are provided for identification only and do not imply endorsement by the Regents of the University of California.

App. 2

AMICI NAME	ORGANIZATIONAL MISSION
Asian Pacific	Berkeley Law's Asian Pacific
American Law	American Law Student
Students	Association (APALSA) is
Association –	dedicated to serving the Asian
UC Berkeley	and Pacific Islander American
School of Law	community at Berkeley Law and
	the Asian Pacific American
	community at large. APALSA's
	goal is to promote a greater
	awareness of the diverse culture,
	rich history, and current struggle
	of Asian Pacific Americans.
Berkeley	The Journal provides a scholarly
Journal of	forum to debate and propose
African	solutions to issues affecting
American Law	disenfranchised people,
and Policy – UC	particularly African-Americans.
Berkeley School	The Journal publishes social
of Law	policy and legal scholarship
	addressing economic, political,
	philosophical, and sociological
	issues affecting African-
	Americans.
Berkeley	Berkeley Journal of Gender, Law
Journal of	and Justice publishes feminist
Gender, Law	legal scholarship that critically
and Justice –	examines the intersection of
UC Berkeley	gender with one or more other
School of Law	axes of subordination, including,
	but not limited to, race, class,
	sexual orientation, and disability.

App. 3

AMICI NAME	ORGANIZATIONAL MISSION
Berkeley La	The Berkeley La Raza Law
Raza Law	Journal produces knowledge,
Journal – UC	centered on Latina/o conditions,
Berkeley School	communities, and identities,
of Law	designed to capture the
	imagination of legislators, stir
	the consciences of judges, and
	provide a dynamic tool for
	practitioners concerned with the
	impact of their work on behalf of
	the Latina/o community.
Boalt Hall	Founded in 1978, the Queer
Queer Caucus –	Caucus works to eradicate the
UC Berkeley	oppression of LGBTQ people and
School of Law	to support students of diverse
	sexual orientations and gender
	identities. We strive to provide
	social opportunities for our
	members, support queer
	scholarship, and organize events
	relating to political, social, and
	legal issues affecting the queer
	community.
Boalt Hall	The Boalt Hall Student
Student	Association represents the
Association –	collective interests of Berkeley
UC Berkeley	Law students before the faculty
School of Law	and administration of Berkeley
	Law, the University of California
	and the public at large.

App. 4

AMICI NAME	ORGANIZATIONAL MISSION
Coalition For	The Coalition for Diversity and
Diversity – UC	Inclusion (CFD) is committed to
Berkeley School	the recruitment, retention and
of Law	thriving progression of students
	of color, low-income students,
	disabled students, LGBTIQ
	students, gender non-conforming
	students, immigrant students,
	and first-generation college
	students. CFD achieves this
	through inclusive programming,
	alliance building among affinity
	organizations, and advocacy on
	behalf of diverse students at
	Berkeley Law.
First Generation	First Generation Professionals
Professionals –	(FGP) is a student-led group that
UC Berkeley	strives to address the needs of
School of Law	first generation law students
	through mentorship, career
	advising, and social activities.
	Born of the common experiences
	and challenges of students from
	working-class backgrounds who
	are often the first in their family
	to attend college. FGP is a truly
	diverse community that fosters
	inclusiveness, well-being, and
	academic achievement
	throughout the Berkeley School
	of Law.

App. 5

AMICI NAME	ORGANIZATIONAL MISSION
La Raza Law	La Raza Law Students
Students	Association seeks to empower
Association –	Latina/o students. By studying
UC Berkeley	law with <i>conocimiento</i> , we learn
School of Law	how to shape the law to enhance
	our diverse communities'
	cultural, economic, political,
	social, and spiritual vitality. La
	Raza facilitates members' diverse
	legal interests by maintaining an
	inclusive environment where
	members can engage deeply their
	studies and each other.
National	The National Lawyers Guild,
Lawyers Guild –	Berkeley Law Chapter, is an
UC Berkeley	association of progressive law
School of Law	students dedicated to the fight
	for civil rights, social justice, and
	the elevation of human rights
	over property interests.
South Asian	The UC Berkeley South Asian
Law Students	Law Students Association
Association –	(SALSA) represents the interests
UC Berkeley	of law students of South Asian
School of Law	descent at Berkeley Law.
	Further, SALSA is committed to
	advancing the interests of South
	Asians through both law and
	policy in the United States,
	South Asia, and beyond.

App. 6

AMICI NAME	ORGANIZATIONAL MISSION
Women of Color	The Women of Color Collective
Collective – UC	(WOCC) provides a supportive
Berkeley School	space for African-American,
of Law	Asian-American, Latina, Native-
	American, other women, and
	transgender students of color at
	Berkeley Law. Through cultural,
	social, professional, educational
	and community service
	programs, WOCC enriches the
	educational experience at
	Berkeley Law by advancing the
	needs of women and transgender
	students of color.
Black Graduate	The Black Graduate Student
Student	Association (BGSA) is a cross-
Association –	disciplinary graduate student
UC Berkeley	group with a vested interest in
	providing opportunities for
	networking and community
	building for graduate students
	from the Black/African
	Diaspora(s) at Berkeley. BGSA's
	creation was sparked by
	graduate students' firm desire to
	address various concerns of the
	Black community both inside and
	outside of the University.

App. 7

AMICI NAME	ORGANIZATIONAL MISSION
Society of	The purpose of this organization
Colombians –	is to create an interesting and
UC Berkeley	stimulating environment for
	students at UC Berkeley, and
	promote the Colombian culture
	through social and cultural
	activities. Its purpose is also to
	educate other students about the
	Colombian culture and to provide
	a sense of a "home away from
	home" for Colombian
	undergraduate and graduate
	students. This organization
	provides a space for cultural
	exchange and growth for its
	members.

App. 8

AMICI NAME	ORGANIZATIONAL MISSION
Black Law	The mission of the UCLA Black
Students	Law Students Association
Association –	(BLSA) is to recruit Black
UCLA School of	students into the law school;
Law	articulate and promote the
	professional needs and goals of
	Black law students; focus upon
	the relationship of the Black law
	student and the Black attorney to
	the American legal structure;
	instill in the Black attorney and
	law student a greater awareness
	and commitment to the needs of
	the Black community; influence
	the legal community to bring out
	meaningful change to meet the
	needs of the Black community;
	and create a supportive
	environment for BLSA members.
Chicana/o-	Over the last 30 years, the
Latina/o Law	Chicana/o-Latina/o Law Review
Review – UCLA	(CLLR) has provided an essential
School of Law	forum for the discussion of
	central issues affecting the
	Latino community that
	mainstream law journals
	continue to ignore. CLLR has
	established a reputation for
	publishing strong scholarly work
	on affirmative action and
	education, Spanish and Mexican
	land grants, environmental
	justice, language rights, and
	immigration reform.

App. 9

AMICI NAME	ORGANIZATIONAL MISSION
Disability Law	Disability Law Society (DLS) is a
Society – UCLA	safe space for students with and
School of Law	without disabilities interested in
	disability law and policy. DLS
	serves all people with disabilities,
	ranging from physical,
	intellectual/developmental, and
	mental health. DLS works to
	foster awareness and
	professional development for
	those interested in disability law,
	through programming and
	networking opportunities.

App. 10

AMICI NAME	ORGANIZATIONAL MISSION
La Raza Law	The UCLA La Raza Law
Students	Students Association actively
Association –	recruits Latina/o applicants to
UCLA School of	the UCLA School of Law;
Law	provides academic, social, and
	moral support for Latina/o law
	students to ensure the
	graduation of La Raza law
	students; educates Raza students
	about underserved legal areas
	and communities; advocates for
	the recruitment of Latina/o
	faculty; provides an arena for
	Raza women to discuss multiple
	forms of oppression; and
	advocates for the admission of
	Latina/o students.
National Black	The National Black Law Journal
Law Journal –	(NBLJ) at UCLA School of Law
UCLA School of	has been committed to scholarly
Law	discourse exploring race and the
	law since 1970, when five Black
	law students and two Black law
	professors formed the journal in
	the wake of the Civil Rights
	Movement. NBLJ was the first
	journal in the country dedicated
	to studying the intersection of
	law and race.

App. 11

AMICI NAME	ORGANIZATIONAL MISSION
National	The National Lawyers Guild,
Lawyers Guild –	UCLA Chapter, is dedicated to
UCLA School of	the need for basic change in the
Law	structure of our political and
	economic system. The Guild
	unites lawyers, law students and
	legal workers as an effective
	political and social force in the
	service of the people.
OUTLaw -	OUTLaw promotes education,
UCLA School of	scholarship, advocacy, and
Law	participation in civic and social
	activities by and for the lesbian,
	gay, bisexual, transgender, and
	allied community at the UCLA
	School of Law and provides
	leadership and networking
	opportunities for its members.
Filipino Law	The purpose of the Filipino Law
Students	Students Association is to
Association –	educate the Law School
UC Davis School	community and UC Davis about
of Law	legal issues affecting Filipinos
	and Filipino Americans.

App. 12

AMICI NAME	ORGANIZATIONAL MISSION
La Raza Law	La Raza Law Students
Students	Association of UC Davis School of
Association –	Law (LRLSA) is dedicated to
UC Davis School	increasing the number of
of Law	Chicanos and Latinos in law
	schools and the law profession.
	LRLSA provides a supportive
	environment for Raza law
	students to succeed, and serves
	as a forum for Raza law students
	to share their culture and
	identity with other law students.
Lambda Law	The Lambda Law Students
Students	Association is a collective group
Association –	of LGBTQIA-identified and
UC Davis School	allied-identified students, staff,
of Law	and faculty at UC Davis School of
	Law. Lambda's three chief goals
	are community, education and
	activism. To that end, we
	sponsor a wide variety of events
	throughout the year designed to
	foster awareness of LGBTQIA
	issues and to promote the
	LGBTQIA legal agenda.

App. 13

AMICI NAME	ORGANIZATIONAL MISSION
Law Students	The Law Students Association
Association –	(LSA) is the UC Davis School of
UC Davis School	Law's student government. The
of Law	LSA's mission is to increase the
	value of the legal education
	offered at King Hall. LSA works
	toward improving student life,
	addressing student concerns, and
	acting as a liaison among the
	students and the faculty,
	administration, and alumni.
Asian Pacific	Asian Pacific American Law
American Law	Student Association (APALSA)
Student	supports Asian/Pacific Islander
Association –	students at UC Hastings by
UC Hastings	providing career and academic
College of Law	support, mentorship, and
	opportunities for networking
	with peers, alumni, and the
	larger Asian/Pacific Islander
	legal community. APALSA also
	addresses the issues and legal
	needs of the larger Asian/Pacific
	Islander community through
	community service and recruiting
	Asian/Pacific Islander students.

App. 14

AMICI NAME	ORGANIZATIONAL MISSION
Black Law	UC Hastings' Black Law
Students	Students Association (BLSA)
Association –	aims to articulate and promote
UC Hastings	the professional needs and goals
College of Law	of Black law students at the
	University of California Hastings
	College of Law. BLSA provides
	an environment promoting unity
	and camaraderie among Black
	law students. Additionally,
	BLSA aims to influence society
	as well as the legal community to
	bring about meaningful change
	in the legal system to meet the
	needs of the Black community
	while creating and maintaining
	active relationships between
	Black law students and Black
	attorneys.
Chinese	The Chinese American Law
American Law	Association (CALA) seeks to
Association –	create awareness and
UC Hastings	understanding of Chinese law
College of Law	and culture within the
	UC Hastings community. CALA
	seeks to promote diversity and
	highlight legal issues concerning
	Chinese communities and legal
	developments in the United
	States and China; present
	networking and pro bono
	opportunities to its members; and
	encourage involvement with the
	local Chinese community.

App. 15

AMICI NAME	ORGANIZATIONAL MISSION
Hastings	The mission of Hastings Students
Students for	for Immigrants' Rights (HSIR) is
Immigrants'	to help students translate an
Rights - UC	immigration law interest into an
Hastings	immigration law career. Our
College of Law	purpose is to provide our
	members opportunities to engage
	directly with the immigration
	legal community and gain
	experience as advocates
	themselves. HSIR educates our
	members and the broader
	Hastings community about the
	immigration field and connects
	our members with attorneys
	active in the field.
La Raza Law	The La Raza Law Students
Students	Association is dedicated to
Association –	promoting diversity in the law
UC Hastings	school classroom and the legal
College of Law	profession. As an organization,
	La Raza finds its strength in the
	diversity and dedication of its
	members. Though individually
	we have different backgrounds
	and perspectives, collectively we
	share the same vision of success
	for Latina/os in the legal
	community, other professions,
	and academia.

App. 16

AMICI NAME	ORGANIZATIONAL MISSION
National	The UC Hastings chapter of the
Lawyers Guild –	National Lawyers Guild is an
UC Hastings	association dedicated to the need
College of Law	for basic change in the structure
	of our political and economic
	system. We seek to unite the
	lawyers, law students, legal
	workers, and jailhouse lawyers of
	the United States in an
	organization that functions as an
	effective political and social force
	in the service of the people, to the
	end that human rights shall be
	regarded as more sacred than
	property interests.
Pilipino	The Pilipino American Law
American Law	Society (PALS) is a student
Society – UC	organization at UC Hastings
Hastings	College of Law. PALS was
College of Law	started to address legal and
	social issues relevant to the
	Pilipino American community,
	but we welcome all individuals,
	regardless of ethnic background,
	who are interested in Pilipino
	American issues and increasing
	cultural diversity in the legal
	profession.

App. 17

AMICI NAME	ORGANIZATIONAL MISSION
Black Law	The Black Law Students
Students	Association (BLSA) at UC Irvine
Association –	School of Law is an affiliate of
UC Irvine	the National Black Law Students
School of Law	Association. BLSA creates a
	supportive environment for Black
	law students at UCI Law and
	encourages the academic success
	and promotes the professional
	needs of Black law students at
	UCI Law. BLSA also creates and
	maintains active relationships
	with the UC Irvine and Orange
	County communities by
	organizing educational programs,
	community outreach activities,
	and mentorship opportunities.
Latina/o Law	The Latina/o Law Students
Student	Association at UC Irvine School
Association –	of Law is an inclusive
UC Irvine	organization, open to any
School of Law	student, regardless of racial or
	ethnic identification. We believe
	it is important to address issues
	that affect Latinos at both an
	institutional level and in the
	community. Our mission is to
	confront these issues through
	community service, mentorship,
	coalition building, and
	networking.

App. 18

AMICI NAME	ORGANIZATIONAL MISSION
National	The National Lawyers Guild—
Lawyers Guild –	UC Irvine Student Chapter, is a
UC Irvine	student organization,
School of Law	predominantly made up of law
	students, that seeks to unite with
	lawyers, legal workers, and
	jailhouse lawyers to function as
	an effective force in the service of
	the people, to the end that
	human rights shall be regarded
	as more sacred than property
	interests.
OutLaw – UC	The purpose of UCI OutLaw is to
Irvine School of	promote education, scholarship,
Law	advocacy, and participation in
	civic and social activities by and
	for the lesbian, gay, bisexual,
	transgender, and allied
	community at the University of
	California Irvine School of Law
	and to provide leadership and
	networking opportunities for its
	members.
Women's Law	The mission of the Women's Law
Society – UC	Society is to promote the
Irvine School of	academic, personal and
Law	professional development of
	women law students and to
	encourage thoughtful discussion
	of issues related to gender, law,
	and society.