## HOMEWORK 11. Due Wednesday April 23.

- 1. Consider the case of an economy with four states-of-the-world. Assume that an asset  $S_1$  exists that pays 2 units in period 1 if state A occurs, 1 unit if state B occurs, and nothing if state C or D occurs. Another asset  $S_2$  exists which pays 1 unit in period 1, if state C occurs, and nothing in states A, B, and D. A third asset  $S_3$  pays 0 units in period 1 if state C occurs, and 2 units in states A, B, and D. Finally, a discount bond paying one unit in period 1 for sure can be traded.
- a) Is the set of assets equivalent to a full set of Arrow securities?
- b) Now assume that asset  $S_3$  instead pays 1 unit in period 1, if state A occurs, and 0 units in states B, C, and D. Are the markets perfect (equivalent to a full set of Arrow securities) in this case?
- 2. (20% of final, 2010) An econometrician finds a relation

$$\Delta \log C_{it} - \Delta \log C_t = 0.4 \, \Delta Y_{it-1} ,$$

where  $C_{it}$  is the consumption of individual i and  $Y_{it}$  is the income of individual i and  $C_t$  is aggregate consumption. (Assume aggregate consumption growth is not equal to individual consumption growth; in other words: the left-hand side side is not 0.)

Assuming the coefficient 0.4 is statistically significant what does this results imply about the validity of

- a) the Permanent Income Hypothesis?
- b) Perfect Risk Sharing (under the standard assumption that all agents have identical CRRA utility functions)?
- 3. (60% of final 2008) Consider the case of a 3 agents ("Home," "Foreign," and "Really Foreign"), 2 periods, 2 states-of-the-world model where agents can trade using a full set of Arrow securities. Assume that all agents have quadratic utility functions  $U(C_0) + \beta E_0 U(C_1)$ , where  $U(C_t) = C_t \frac{1}{200}C_t^2$  and  $\beta = \frac{1}{1.1}$ .

Assume that the endowment of the first agent is  $y_0 = 3$ , that of the second agent in period 0 is  $y_0^* = 3$ , and that of the third agent  $y^{**} = 6$ .

The following table gives the possible endowments and the probabilities for Home, Foreign and Really Foreign:

|                     | Home |    | Foreign |    |    |   | Really Foreign |    |
|---------------------|------|----|---------|----|----|---|----------------|----|
| State of the world: | A    | В  | _       | A  | В  | _ | A              | В  |
| period 1 endowment  | 2    | 7  |         | 7  | 2  |   | 9              | 9  |
| probability:        | .5   | .5 |         | .5 | .5 |   | .5             | .5 |

- a) Find the prices of the Arrow-Debreu assets for each of the 2 states of the world.
- b) Find the rate of interest.
- c) Argue in economic terms why the interest rate is larger or smaller than 0 and larger or smaller than the discount rate.
- d) Assume that now only bonds can be traded. Find the rate of interest?
- e) Find the consumption in period 1 and period 2 of the Home agent. (If you write down one equation in one unknown, that is considered a full answer, don't spend time on solving.)
- f) Assume that now there again are Arrow-Debreu securities but  $U(C) = \log(C)$ . Find the prices of the Arrow-Debreu securities.
- g) Find the rate of interest.
- h) Find the consumption of all agents in all periods and all states of the world.
- i) Assume that the agents only have access to a bond. State 3 equations in 3 unknowns that would determine the consumption of the agents and the interest rate. (The equations are messy to solve, so do not solve them.)
- j) Assume now that agents have access to an Arrow-Debreu security that pays out one unit in state A and the agents also have access to a bond. Find the consumption of all agents in all states of the world. (Hint: If you think carefully about this, you may not have to do a lot of calculations.)