

Internal Distribution of F&A Recovery Reports, Report 2
Internal Distribution of F&A Recovery by Institution (plus detailed notes)
2005-2006 COGR Survey

INSTITUTION NAME	ADMIN	ACAD	ADMIN. CATEGORIES					ACAD. CATEGORIES					TOTAL
			Central Adm	VP of Res	O&M, Plant	Library	Other Admin	Dean	Dept	PI	Res Inst or Ctr	Other Acad	
Alabama, U of (Birmingham)	40.0%	60.0%	27.0%	5.5%	7.5%			55.4%			4.6%		100.0%
Alabama, U of (Tuscaloosa)	68.0%	32.0%	53.0%	15.0%				32.0%					100.0%
Arizona State U.	75.0%	25.0%		75.0%				20.0%		5.0%			100.0%
Arizona, U of	64.8%	35.2%	19.5%	10.8%	32.6%	2.0%		35.2%					100.0%
Arkansas, U of	55.3%	44.7%	28.8%	8.4%	10.5%		7.6%	35.9%			8.8%		100.0%
Auburn, U of	47.2%	52.8%	37.2%	10.0%				25.8%	27.0%				100.0%
Baylor College of Med	100.0%		100.0%										100.0%
Boston College	100.0%		100.0%										100.0%
Boston U.	80.5%	19.5%	80.5%					12.5%				7.0%	100.0%
Brown U.	50.0%	50.0%	50.0%					50.0%					100.0%
California Inst. of Technology													
California St U, Dom Hills	90.0%	10.0%	80.0%	10.0%				10.0%					100.0%
California St U, San Diego	82.0%	18.0%					82.0%	2.0%	5.0%	11.0%			100.0%
California St U, Fresno													
California, U of (Berkeley)													
California, U of (Davis)	57.0%	43.0%	10.0%	6.0%	38.0%		3.0%	5.0%	5.0%	24.0%	1.0%	8.0%	100.0%
California, U of (Los Angeles)	67.5%	32.5%	17.5%				50.0%	32.5%					100.0%
California, U of (San Diego)													
California, U of (San Fran)	87.9%	12.1%	10.7%	0.7%	47.8%	0.3%	28.4%	12.1%					100.0%
California, U of, (Irvine)	79.0%	21.0%	58.0%	13.0%			8.0%			21.0%			100.0%
Carnegie Mellon U.													
Case Western Reserve U.	50.0%	50.0%	33.0%	5.0%	10.0%	2.0%		15.0%	35.0%				100.0%
Chicago, U of		100.0%						100.0%					100.0%
Children's Hosp Phil, Stokes Res Inst		100.0%									100.0%		100.0%
Cincinnati, U of	62.8%	37.2%	45.9%				16.9%	4.7%	27.9%		4.6%		100.0%
City of Hope, Beckman Res Inst	100.0%		100.0%										100.0%
City U. of New York	2.8%	97.3%	2.8%					0.4%	8.8%	79.3%	8.8%		100.0%
Clemson U.													
Colorado State U.	59.7%	40.3%	52.0%	7.0%			0.7%	39.0%				1.3%	100.0%
Colorado, U of (Boulder)	71.0%	29.0%	71.0%					2.0%	15.0%		12.0%		100.0%
Colorado, U of (Health Sciences)	79.5%	20.5%	45.6%		31.7%		2.3%	20.5%					100.0%
Columbia U.		100.0%										100.0%	100.0%
Connecticut, U of, (Storrs)	55.0%	45.0%	40.0%	10.0%	5.0%			10.0%	5.0%	5.0%	25.0%		100.0%
Connecticut, U of, (Health Sci Ctr)													
Cornell U.	100.0%		100.0%										100.0%

Internal Distribution of F&A Recovery Reports, Report 2
Internal Distribution of F&A Recovery by Institution (plus detailed notes)
2005-2006 COGR Survey

INSTITUTION NAME	ADMIN	ACAD	ADMIN. CATEGORIES					ACAD. CATEGORIES					TOTAL
			Central Adm	VP of Res	O&M, Plant	Library	Other Admin	Dean	Dept	PI	Res Inst or Ctr	Other Acad	
Dartmouth College	25.0%	75.0%	25.0%					75.0%					100.0%
Dayton, U of	100.0%			92.4%			7.6%						100.0%
Denver, U of	79.0%	21.0%	73.0%	4.0%	2.0%			21.0%					100.0%
Duke U.	13.1%	86.9%		0.8%	12.3%			1.1%			4.7%	81.1%	100.0%
Florida State U.	44.5%	55.5%	10.0%	31.5%	3.0%			5.8%	17.8%			32.0%	100.0%
Florida, U of	46.5%	53.5%	8.7%	30.2%	5.0%	1.5%	1.1%	28.5%	7.5%	10.0%	7.5%		100.0%
Fox Chase Cancer Center	100.0%		33.0%	17.0%	47.0%	3.0%							100.0%
George Mason U.	59.7%	40.3%	30.0%	29.7%				20.1%	8.1%	12.1%			100.0%
George Washington U.													
Georgetown U.													
Georgia Inst. of Technology		100.0%						4.0%	57.0%		39.0%		100.0%
Georgia, Medical College of	13.2%	86.8%		13.2%				52.1%			21.7%	13.0%	100.0%
Harvard Medical School													
Harvard U.		100.0%						100.0%					100.0%
Harvard, Public Health													
Idaho, U of	60.0%	40.0%	9.7%	29.1%	8.7%		12.5%	20.0%	15.0%	5.0%			100.0%
Illinois, U of (Urbana)	55.3%	44.7%	16.8%	13.8%	22.4%	0.7%	1.6%	21.0%	22.3%		1.4%		100.0%
Indiana U.	5.0%	95.0%		5.0%				95.0%					100.0%
Iowa State U	76.0%	24.0%	56.0%	7.0%	13.0%			7.0%		14.0%	3.0%		100.0%
Iowa, U of	72.9%	27.1%	58.3%	0.4%	6.4%	1.6%	6.2%	18.4%	8.7%				100.0%
Johns Hopkins U.													
Kansas St U.	59.0%	41.0%	49.0%	2.0%		3.0%	5.0%	2.0%	39.0%				100.0%
Kansas, U of													
Kentucky, U of	36.0%	64.0%	8.0%	21.0%	6.0%		1.0%	15.0%	11.0%	35.0%	1.0%	2.0%	100.0%
Lehigh U.	84.0%	16.0%	84.0%					9.0%	4.0%	3.0%			100.0%
Louisiana State U. Health Sci (N.O.)	74.1%	25.9%	53.1%		21.0%			25.9%					100.0%
Louisville, U of	65.0%	35.0%	29.0%	9.0%	7.0%		20.0%		10.0%	10.0%	6.0%	9.0%	100.0%
Loyola U.	100.0%		100.0%										100.0%
Maryland, U of (College Park)	50.0%	50.0%	50.0%					50.0%					100.0%
Massachusetts Inst. of Technology	100.0%		100.0%										100.0%
Massachusetts, U of (Amherst)													
Med and Dentistry of NJ, U of	16.0%	84.0%	14.0%			2.0%		84.0%					100.0%
Medical U. of South Carolina	56.0%	44.0%		30.5%	24.0%	1.5%		28.0%		4.0%	2.0%	10.0%	100.0%
Miami, U of													
Michigan State U.	80.0%	20.0%	72.5%	7.5%				10.0%	10.0%				100.0%

Internal Distribution of F&A Recovery Reports, Report 2
Internal Distribution of F&A Recovery by Institution (plus detailed notes)
2005-2006 COGR Survey

INSTITUTION NAME	ADMIN	ACAD	ADMIN. CATEGORIES					ACAD. CATEGORIES					TOTAL
			Central Adm	VP of Res	O&M, Plant	Library	Other Admin	Dean	Dept	PI	Res Inst or Ctr	Other Acad	
Michigan, U of		100.0%						100.0%					100.0%
Minnesota, U of	50.5%	49.5%	50.5%					49.5%					100.0%
Mississippi State U.	60.0%	40.0%	40.0%	20.0%					40.0%				100.0%
Missouri, U of	75.0%	25.0%	75.0%						25.0%				100.0%
Montana State U	55.0%	45.0%		55.0%				9.0%	27.0%	9.0%			100.0%
Nebraska, U of, (Lincoln)	69.0%	31.0%	13.0%	21.0%	10.0%	0.6%	24.4%	25.9%			4.1%	1.0%	100.0%
Nevada, Las Vegas, U of	19.0%	81.0%		15.0%		4.0%		40.0%				41.0%	100.0%
New Hampshire, U of	8.0%	92.0%		8.0%				82.0%		10.0%			100.0%
New Jersey Inst. of Technology	100.0%						100.0%						100.0%
New Mexico State U.	40.5%	59.5%	25.0%	10.0%	1.5%	4.0%			59.5%				100.0%
New Mexico, U of	56.0%	44.0%	3.0%	53.0%		0.0%		44.0%					100.0%
New York U. (Wash Square)													
North Carolina State U.	65.1%	34.9%	50.1%	15.0%				34.9%					100.0%
North Dakota, U of	33.5%	66.5%	32.0%	1.5%				7.1%	19.0%		40.4%		100.0%
Northern Illinois U.													
Northwestern U.													
Notre Dame, U of	83.0%	17.0%	15.0%	68.0%				17.0%					100.0%
Ohio State U.													
Oklahoma State U.	55.0%	45.0%	45.0%	10.0%				45.0%					100.0%
Oklahoma, U of	80.0%	20.0%	50.0%	30.0%				2.0%	18.0%				100.0%
Old Dominion U. RF	48.1%	51.9%	4.8%	10.6%			32.7%	46.6%			3.6%	1.7%	100.0%
Oregon Health & Sciences U.	72.6%	27.4%	72.6%					27.4%					100.0%
Oregon State U.													
Oregon, U of	48.2%	51.8%	12.6%	20.5%	11.2%	2.5%	1.4%		24.8%		27.0%		100.0%
Pennsylvania State U.													
Pennsylvania, U of	19.0%	81.0%		19.0%				81.0%					100.0%
Princeton U.	94.9%	5.1%	94.9%						3.4%	1.7%			100.0%
Purdue U.	100.0%			14.4%	11.0%		74.6%						100.0%
Rensselaer Polytechnic Inst.	90.0%	10.0%	90.0%						5.5%	3.0%	1.5%		100.0%
Rice U.	83.0%	17.0%	83.0%					17.0%					100.0%
Rockefeller U.													
South Florida, U of	70.0%	30.0%		70.0%				10.0%	10.0%	10.0%			100.0%
Southern Illinois U.	70.0%	30.0%	30.0%	40.0%				5.0%	25.0%				100.0%
Stanford U.													
Stevens Inst. of Technology	100.0%		100.0%										100.0%

Internal Distribution of F&A Recovery Reports, Report 2
Internal Distribution of F&A Recovery by Institution (plus detailed notes)
2005-2006 COGR Survey

INSTITUTION NAME	ADMIN	ACAD	ADMIN. CATEGORIES					ACAD. CATEGORIES					TOTAL
			Central Adm	VP of Res	O&M, Plant	Library	Other Admin	Dean	Dept	PI	Res Inst or Ctr	Other Acad	
SUNY, Albany	69.8%	30.2%	45.4%	8.9%	12.5%		3.0%	5.5%	1.2%	9.1%	13.9%	0.5%	100.0%
SUNY, at Buffalo	57.2%	42.8%	43.9%	9.8%	1.9%	1.4%	0.2%	25.0%	3.1%	0.4%	8.6%	5.7%	100.0%
SUNY, Binghamton	60.5%	39.5%	16.2%	32.3%	8.1%		3.9%		3.4%	6.8%	7.6%	21.7%	100.0%
SUNY, Buffalo State	91.1%	8.9%	19.6%	1.6%	14.7%	0.2%	55.0%	0.8%		2.4%	5.7%		100.0%
SUNY, EnvSci and Forestry	78.6%	21.4%	35.1%	24.8%	5.1%	3.8%	9.8%		12.2%	8.1%	0.8%	0.3%	100.0%
SUNY, HSC at Brooklyn	73.5%	26.5%	57.0%			1.7%	14.8%	14.0%	1.0%	6.5%		5.0%	100.0%
SUNY, Stony Brook	72.6%	27.4%	14.5%	14.5%	9.8%	0.3%	33.5%	3.8%	9.6%			14.0%	100.0%
SUNY, Syracuse HSC	30.2%	69.8%	15.0%	12.1%			3.1%	69.8%					100.0%
Syracuse U.	37.0%	63.0%	19.0%	1.0%	11.0%	3.0%	3.0%	13.0%	13.0%		26.0%	11.0%	100.0%
Tennessee, U of (Health Sciences)	91.5%	8.5%	70.0%	1.5%	20.0%			2.0%	4.5%	2.0%			100.0%
Tennessee, U of (Knoxville)	60.0%	40.0%	60.0%					40.0%					100.0%
Texas A&M U. System	56.6%	43.4%	42.5%	5.9%	4.3%	0.1%	3.7%	8.5%	20.3%	5.9%	1.6%	7.1%	100.0%
Texas Tech U.	73.0%	27.0%		73.0%				27.0%					100.0%
Texas, U of (Austin)													
Texas, U of, M.D. Anderson Med Ctr	100.0%		100.0%										100.0%
Texas, U of, SW Medical Center													
Thomas Jefferson U.		100.0%							100.0%				100.0%
Tufts U. (Health Sciences)		100.0%										100.0%	100.0%
Tulane U.		100.0%						100.0%					100.0%
Utah State U.	70.0%	30.0%		70.0%					30.0%				100.0%
Utah, U of	75.0%	25.0%	50.1%	10.5%	12.9%	1.5%		13.5%				11.5%	100.0%
Vanderbilt U.		100.0%						100.0%					100.0%
Vermont, U of													
Virginia Commonwealth U.	64.3%	35.7%	30.0%	20.3%	7.7%		6.3%	25.2%			6.3%	4.2%	100.0%
Virginia Tech	59.7%	40.3%	30.0%	8.6%	21.1%			40.3%					100.0%
Virginia, U of	46.8%	53.2%	28.5%	8.8%	1.3%	3.0%	5.2%	17.9%	18.2%			17.1%	100.0%
Wake Forest U. Health Sciences	100.0%		100.0%										100.0%
Washington State U	71.0%	29.0%	43.0%	4.0%	17.0%	7.0%		8.0%	15.0%			6.0%	100.0%
Washington U. (St. Louis)		100.0%						91.5%	8.5%				100.0%
Washington, U of (Seattle)	68.3%	31.7%	12.4%	3.7%	49.3%	2.9%		31.7%					100.0%
Wayne State U.	75.0%	25.0%	52.0%	2.9%	10.5%		9.6%	7.2%	11.0%	6.8%			100.0%
West Virginia U.	70.0%	30.0%	70.0%					30.0%					100.0%
Wisconsin, U of													
Yale U.		100.0%						100.0%					100.0%

Internal Distribution of F&A Recovery Reports, Report 2
Internal Distribution of F&A Recovery by Institution
2005-2006 COGR Survey

INSTITUTION NAME	Cog.	Region	Public/ Private	MTDC, All Spn (Cat.)	Med. School	Land Grant	Notes on Internal Distribution of F&A:
Alabama, U of (Birmingham)	HHS	Mid-Atl	Public	4	Yes		Each Dean makes distribution to the Depts and PIs based on the policy of
Alabama, U of (Tuscaloosa)	HHS	Mid-Atl	Public	1		Yes	
Arizona State U.	HHS	SF	Public	3			
Arizona, U of	HHS	SF	Public	4	Yes	Yes	Central Adm includes Provost (9.18%), G&A Admin (9.64%), and Stu Other Admin - Distributions to the Clinton School of Public Service, th
Arkansas, U of	HHS	Dallas	Public	1		Yes	
Auburn, U of	HHS	Mid-Atl	Public	1		Yes	
Baylor College of Med	HHS	Dallas	Pvt	4	Yes		Boston University is comprised of two campuses. The Charles River The Medical School is permitted to retain 90% of their recovered F&A
Boston College	HHS	NY	Pvt	1			
Boston U.	HHS	NY	Pvt	4	Yes		
Brown U.	HHS	NY	Pvt	2	Yes		SDSU Research Foundation (an auxiliary of SDSU) accepts and adm Expenses related to sponsored programs are deducted from the F&A Internal Distribution of F&A: We have no specific percentage formula
California Inst. of Technology	ONR		Pvt	3			
California St U, Dom Hills	HHS	SF	Public	1		Yes	
California St U, San Diego	HHS	SF	Public	2			Internal distribution to Central Admin is to Chancellor's Office; funds r "Central Admin" only includes amounts distributed to the campus.
California St U, Fresno	HHS	SF	Public	1			
California, U of (Berkeley)	HHS	SF	Public	4		Yes	
California, U of (Davis)	HHS	SF	Public	5	Yes	Yes	F/A revenue is not distributed to the university depts based on A-21 f Res Ctr-Lab Animal Med receives all F&A charged on its charges.
California, U of (Los Angeles)	HHS	SF	Public	5	Yes	Yes	
California, U of (San Diego)	HHS	SF	Public	5	Yes	Yes	
California, U of (San Fran)	HHS	SF	Public	5	Yes		Of the total F&A recovery, \$400K is taken off the top for funding the R Each Deans Office distributes to dept or PI at their own discretion. F&A is recorded as revenue in the school which owns the project(s) t
California, U of, (Irvine)	HHS	SF	Public	5	Yes		
Carnegie Mellon U.	ONR		Pvt	3			
Case Western Reserve U.	HHS	Dallas	Pvt	4	Yes		
Chicago, U of	HHS	Dallas	Pvt	4	Yes		
Children's Hosp Phil, Stokes Res Inst	HHS	Mid-Atl	Pvt	2			
Cincinnati, U of	HHS	Dallas	Public	5	Yes		
City of Hope, Beckman Res Inst	HHS	SF	Pvt	1			
City U. of New York	HHS	NY	Public	1			
Clemson U.	HHS	Mid-Atl	Public	2		Yes	
Colorado State U.	HHS	SF	Public	3		Yes	
Colorado, U of (Boulder)	HHS	SF	Public	5			
Colorado, U of (Health Sciences)	HHS	SF	Public	3	Yes		
Columbia U.	HHS	NY	Pvt	5	Yes		
Connecticut, U of, (Storrs)	HHS	NY	Public	2		Yes	
Connecticut, U of, (Health Sci Ctr)	HHS	NY	Public	2	Yes	Yes	
Cornell U. (Ithaca)	HHS	NY	Pvt-Pub	4		Yes	

Internal Distribution of F&A Recovery Reports, Report 2
Internal Distribution of F&A Recovery by Institution
2005-2006 COGR Survey

Notes on Internal Distribution of F&A:	INSTITUTION NAME
the individual college/school.	Alabama, U of (Tuscaloosa)
udent Services (0.63%). VP of Res includes Sponsored Projects Adm (2.3%) and Eqp (8.45%). he Criminal Justice Institute and Archeological Survey. (they keep 100%)	Arizona, U of
	Arkansas, U of
r Campus returns 12.5% of the F&A generated to the Dean's of each	Boston U.
A. All other recovery is returned to Central Admin. and added to general operating income	Brown U.
ministers all grants and contracts on behalf of SDSU. The majority of the F&A recovery pays	California St U, San Diego
A indirect recovery. The surplus is given to the Provost for Academic Affairs.	California St U, Fresno
a. Some is used for debt service, some is incorporated in the general fund, other amounts	California, U of (Berkeley)
redistributed to campus orgs based on strategic priorities.	California, U of (Los Angeles)
"Other Admin" is the amt distributed to the UC systemwide office.	California, U of (San Fran)
function. All revenues (F/A recovery, gifts, tuition, etc.) are combined and distributed	Carnegie Mellon U.
Research Building Revolving Fund (RBRF) and \$250K is taken off the top for the Senior Vice	Colorado State U.
that generated the F&A.	Columbia U.

Notes
continued
on following
pages

Internal Distribution of F&A Recovery Reports, Report 2
Internal Distribution of F&A Recovery by Institution
2005-2006 COGR Survey

INSTITUTION NAME	Notes on Internal Distribution of F&A:
Arizona, U of	Plant includes Bldg Depr (1.41%), Interest (10.52%) and O&M (20.65%). As a practical matter, most of the 35.2% F&A
Boston U.	Some of those \$s are then distributed to individual depts and/or investigators as determined by the School. Approx. ano
California St U, San Diego	for the operating costs of the Research Foundation and some is returned back to the Deans, Depts, and PI's.
California St U, Fresno	The distribution varies from year to year.
California, U of (Berkeley)	allocated based on need and availability of funds.
California, U of (Los Angeles)	Internal distribution to Other Admin is to UC Office of the President; some funds redistributed to campus as state funding offse
California, U of (San Fran)	The "Dean" amount is the distrib to all acad units, including depts, PIs, research inst & ctrs, etc. UCSF distributes F&A to the D
Carnegie Mellon U.	to depts based on the univ. budget model. Current year budgets are developed based on prior year budgets plus a growth proj
Colorado State U.	President (Provost). The remaining dollars are distributed by percent to Central, VP for Research, and the Colleges (Deans).

Internal Distribution of F&A Recovery Reports, Report 2
Internal Distribution of F&A Recovery by Institution
2005-2006 COGR Survey

Notes on Internal Distribution of F&A:	INSTITUTION NAME
that's distributed to deans is passed to depts. The decision as to "how much", however, is made at the college level.	Arizona, U of
other 7% of total F&A generated is distributed to individual depts based on special arrangements. The Medical Campus is comprised of 3 Schools. Each retains 100% of F&A generated centrally and does not distribute further.	Boston U.
ts. Internal distribution to Deans may be further redistributed within his/her organization.	California, U of (Los Angeles)
Deans' Offc The Deans' Offcs are free to further distr to depts, Pls, etc.at their discretion. The 47.8% of "Plant" breaks as: 12.7% O&M of Plant, 12.4% Fac related Debt Srv pay, 22.7% Plant Prjcts (Facilities constr. and renovs).	California, U of (San Fran)
jection and any special base or one time requests. Some scientific acad. units have "deals" whereby they receive a % of their F/A recovery (in theory to cover their DA costs) in addition to their budget, but these are exceptions.	Carnegie Mellon U.
The Colleges can then in turn decide if they want to distribute their portion to the departments want to distribute their portion to the depts and/or the Pls. Each College has its own rules for distribution.	Colorado State U.

Internal Distribution of F&A Recovery Reports, Report 2
Internal Distribution of F&A Recovery by Institution
2005-2006 COGR Survey

INSTITUTION NAME	Cog.	Region	Public/ Private	MTDC, All Spn (Cat.)	Med. School	Land Grant	Notes on Internal Distribution of F&A:
Dartmouth College	HHS	NY	Pvt	3	Yes		School of A & S distributes 8% of the Dean's share back to PI on a tv
Dayton, U of	ONR		Pvt	1			
Denver, U of	ONR		Pvt	1			
Duke U.	HHS	Mid-Atl	Pvt	5	Yes		Other Academic is to support the Operating Budgets for each School For Internal Distribution of F&A, Dept is inclusive of Research Institut
Florida State U.	HHS	Mid-Atl	Public	3	Yes		
Florida, U of	HHS	Mid-Atl	Public	4	Yes	Yes	
Fox Chase Cancer Center	HHS	Mid-Atl	Pvt	2			GW does not make an internal distribution of F&A as such
George Mason U.	ONR		Public	1			
George Washington U.	HHS	Mid-Atl	Pvt	3	Yes		
Georgetown U.	HHS	Mid-Atl	Pvt	3	Yes		The Research Institute distributes approximately 1/3rd of its portion t
Georgia Inst. of Technology	ONR		Public	5			
Georgia, Medical College of	HHS	Mid-Atl	Public	1	Yes		
Harvard Medical School	HHS	NY	Pvt	3	Yes		There is no formal distribution of F&A to departments at HSPH. The
Harvard U.	HHS	NY	Pvt	3			
Harvard, Public Health	HHS	NY	Pvt	2	Yes		
Idaho, U of	HHS	SF	Public	1		Yes	Percentages above reflect initial allocation of budgeted F&A recoveri
Illinois, U of (Urbana)	ONR		Public	4		Yes	
Indiana U.	HHS	Dallas	Public	3	Yes		
Iowa State U	HHS	Dallas	Public	3		Yes	Other Admin includes Continuing Ed., Extension Director, Ag Exp. St
Iowa, U of	HHS	Dallas	Public	4	Yes		
Johns Hopkins U.	HHS	Mid-Atl	Pvt	5	Yes		
Kansas St U.	HHS	Dallas	Public	2		Yes	Other Acad includes Teaching Assts, Post Doc Fellows (minorities ar
Kansas, U of	HHS	Dallas	Public	2			
Kentucky, U of	HHS	Mid-Atl	Public	3	Yes	Yes	
Lehigh U.	ONR		Pvt	1			Other Acad is the Scholar program which is funded by the Senior VP The F&A recovery is managed by each school and central admin. TI
Louisiana State U. Health Sci (N.O.)	HHS	Dallas	Public	1	Yes		
Louisville, U of	HHS	Mid-Atl	Public	3	Yes		
Loyola U.	HHS	Dallas	Pvt	1	Yes		MIT does not distribute F&A internally.
Maryland, U of (College Park)	HHS	Mid-Atl	Public	4		Yes	
Massachusetts Inst. of Technology	ONR		Pvt	5			
Massachusetts, U of (Amherst)	HHS	NY	Public	2		Yes	7 Schools distribute funds independently. The F&A distribution represents our current FY07 distribution. The C
Med and Dentistry of NJ, U of	HHS	NY	Public	4	Yes		
Medical U. of South Carolina	HHS	Mid-Atl	Public	2	Yes		
Miami, U of	HHS	Mid-Atl	Pvt	3	Yes		
Michigan State U.	HHS	Dallas	Public	3	Yes	Yes	

Internal Distribution of F&A Recovery Reports, Report 2
 Internal Distribution of F&A Recovery by Institution
 2005-2006 COGR Survey

Notes on Internal Distribution of F&A:	INSTITUTION NAME
wo year rolling average	Dartmouth College
l's Academic and Research Programs. tes and Centers.	Duke U.
	Florida State U.
back to the PIs. The Dean determines the distribution made to the department	Georgia, Medical College of
F& A recovery and other revenues fund the school's budget.	Harvard, Public Health
es, not where funds will be expended. "Central Adm" is the sum of campus ICR	Illinois, U of (Urbana)
tation, Engineering Exp.Station, Provost, EPA EPSCOR, DEPSCOR admin offices	Kansas St U.
nd women in underrepresented areas). Other Admin includes consult., F&A, etc.	Kentucky, U of
P. For Research. The Scholar Program is to recruit external faculty to the Univ. here is no formal distribution method used to allocate the F&A among the depts.	Louisville, U of
	Loyola U.
College usually distributes a portion of their F&A to the department level,	Medical U. of South Carolina

Notes
 continued
 on following
 pages

Internal Distribution of F&A Recovery Reports, Report 2
 Internal Distribution of F&A Recovery by Institution
 2005-2006 COGR Survey

INSTITUTION NAME	Notes on Internal Distribution of F&A:
Georgia, Medical College of	Approximately 17% of the Dean's amount is returned to the PIs. "Other Academic" is distributed by the Provost. Approxin
Illinois, U of (Urbana)	allocated to University administration and campus reserves. The 1.4% in "Res Inst or Ctr" is the State Surveys.
Loyola U.	It is reinvested to fund research projects and facility renovations in various schools.
Medical U. of South Carolina	but it is not part of the primary distribution.

Internal Distribution of F&A Recovery Reports, Report 2
Internal Distribution of F&A Recovery by Institution
2005-2006 COGR Survey

[illegible]

Internal Distribution of F&A Recovery Reports, Report 2
Internal Distribution of F&A Recovery by Institution
2005-2006 COGR Survey

INSTITUTION NAME	Cog.	Region	Public/ Private	MTDC, All Spn (Cat.)	Med. School	Land Grant	Notes on Internal Distribution of F&A:
Michigan, U of	HHS	Dallas	Public	5	Yes		The dean has the option to distribute all, some or none of the ICR to
Minnesota, U of	HHS	Dallas	Public	5	Yes	Yes	
Mississippi State U.	HHS	Mid-Atl	Public	3		Yes	
Missouri, U of	HHS	Dallas	Public	3	Yes	Yes	
Montana State U	HHS	SF	Public	2		Yes	
Nebraska, U of, (Lincoln)	HHS	Dallas	Public	2		Yes	We distribute to deans (colleges), who in turn may distribute to depar We are revising FY07 to 48% VPR, 40% Deans, 4% Provost, 4% F
Nevada, Las Vegas, U of	HHS	SF	Public	1			
New Hampshire, U of	HHS	NY	Public	2		Yes	At this time, F&A recovery money is not separately distributed to any Budget office distributes funds to departmental units and distribution Health Science Center distributes F&A 50% to VP and 50% to Dean.
New Jersey Inst. of Technology	HHS	NY	Public	1			
New Mexico State U.	ONR		Public	3		Yes	
New Mexico, U of	HHS	Dallas	Public	3	Yes		The Vice Chancellor for Research takes 15% of all FA Revenues off FY06 F&A not yet allocated, so distribution % is based on FY05 alloc
New York U. (Wash Square)	HHS	NY	Pvt	2			
North Carolina State U.	HHS	Mid-Atl	Public	3		Yes	
North Dakota, U of	HHS	SF	Public	1	Yes		The VP of Research portion is used toward lab renovations and start Five years ago the Univ. began responsibility-based budgeting. Since The following are exceptions to the internal distribution of F&A stated Department distributes to PI per their department policy. Internal Distribution - "Other Admin" is actual operating cost for the R
Northern Illinois U.	HHS	Dallas	Public	1			
Northwestern U.	HHS	Dallas	Pvt	4	Yes		
Notre Dame, U of	HHS	Dallas	Pvt	2			
Ohio State U.	HHS	Dallas	Public	4	Yes	Yes	
Oklahoma State U.	ONR		Public	2		Yes	26% of recovery is distributed to the college dean. Further distributic Other Admin=Development Office Other Academic=Conti
Oklahoma, U of	HHS	Dallas	Public	3			
Old Dominion U. RF	HHS	Mid-Atl	Public	1			
Oregon Health & Sciences U.	HHS	SF	Public	3	Yes		
Oregon State U.	HHS	SF	Public	2		Yes	
Oregon, U of	HHS	SF	Public	2			90% of the F&A stays in central admin; the remaining 10% can be sp
Pennsylvania State U.	ONR		Public	4		Yes	
Pennsylvania, U of	HHS	NY	Pvt	5	Yes		
Princeton U.	HHS	NY	Pvt	2			
Purdue U.	HHS	Dallas	Public	3		Yes	
Rensselaer Polytechnic Inst.	ONR		Pvt	1			The Rockefeller University maintains one pool account for F&A recov Standard split is 70% VP Research, 10% Dean, 10% Department, 10
Rice U.	HHS	Dallas	Pvt	1			
Rockefeller U.	HHS	NY	Pvt	2			
South Florida, U of	HHS	Mid-Atl	Public	3	Yes		
Southern Illinois U.	HHS	Dallas	Public	2	Yes		
Stanford U.	ONR		Pvt	5	Yes		
Stevens Inst. of Technology	ONR		Pvt	1			

Internal Distribution of F&A Recovery Reports, Report 2
Internal Distribution of F&A Recovery by Institution
2005-2006 COGR Survey

Notes on Internal Distribution of F&A:	INSTITUTION NAME
the Unit and/or PI. Practice varies across units.	Michigan, U of
ments and/or PIs.	Nebraska, U of, (Lincoln)
resident, 4% Libraries. FY06 distrib. = 25% to research infra-structure,	Nevada, Las Vegas, U of
particular unit of the Univ. It is included as part of the unrestricted operating budget	New Jersey Inst. of Technology
to Dean, Dept, PI, Res Inst or Ctr and Other Acad based on department discretion.	New Mexico State U.
Main Campus F&A distribution to colleges, centers, and depts varies.	New Mexico, U of
the top. The amount left is distributed as follows:	North Carolina State U.
ations.	
t-up costs. The Dean's portion is distributed between the Dean's office,	Notre Dame, U of
e that time, marginal changes in F&A have been distributed annually to the colleges	Ohio State U.
I above: College of Veterinary Health Sciences: 10% to VP of Res; 90% to Dean	Oklahoma State U.
Research Foundation (separate corporation) remaining recovery is distributed to the	Old Dominion U. RF
on to the dept and/or PI varies with the college. 3% is distributed to the Univ. Systerr	Oregon State U.
inuing Education	Oregon, U of
plit in several ways. The primary split is 55%-PI's Dept, 30%-PI.	Rensselaer Polytechnic Inst.
very; it is difficult to estimate FY06 internal distribution of F&A for this survey.	Rockefeller U.
0% PI and Co-PI's; some exceptions may occur (e.g. PI start-up).	South Florida, U of

Notes
continued
on following
pages

Internal Distribution of F&A Recovery Reports, Report 2
Internal Distribution of F&A Recovery by Institution
2005-2006 COGR Survey

INSTITUTION NAME	Notes on Internal Distribution of F&A:
Nevada, Las Vegas, U of	4% to Pres., 4% to Provost, 15% to VPR, 8% to Reserve, 4% to Library, 40% to Deans.
North Carolina State U.	58.9%-Central Administration. 41.1%-Dean of the College. The Dean off each college is responsible for allocated their portion
Notre Dame, U of	the Department, and the PI. Percentages vary by College.
Ohio State U.	(less the library portion which is distributed directly to the libraries). The base F&A is part of university base budgets. No data is
Oklahoma State U.	Center for Innovation & Economic Development: 5% to VP of Res; 35% to Dean; 60% to Center.
Old Dominion U. RF	University's Central Adm and to Deans (who distribute to Dept/PI at their discretion).
Oregon State U.	for centralized services. Except for A-21 requirement to reserve and expend for research building renovations and equipment
Rensselaer Polytechnic Inst.	The remaining 15% is distributed to either the Center, Provost or VP for Research.
Rockefeller U.	However, the FY06 F&A recovery may be used to develop the University's FY07 internal laboratory and departmental budgets

Internal Distribution of F&A Recovery Reports, Report 2
Internal Distribution of F&A Recovery by Institution
2005-2006 COGR Survey

Notes on Internal Distribution of F&A:	INSTITUTION NAME
n to the department heads and PI's.	North Carolina State U.
s available to apportion F&A into the categories listed above	Ohio State U.
t replacement, the balance of the recovery is in a complex budget distribution process and not known.	Oregon State U.
next Spring	Rockefeller U.

Internal Distribution of F&A Recovery Reports, Report 2
Internal Distribution of F&A Recovery by Institution
2005-2006 COGR Survey

INSTITUTION NAME	Cog.	Region	Public/ Private	MTDC, All Spn (Cat.)	Med. School	Land Grant	Notes on Internal Distribution of F&A:
SUNY, Albany	HHS	NY	Public	2			Central Adm is the cost assessed by our corp. office for research ad The remaining 5.9% balance of internal distribution of F&A goes to re
SUNY, at Buffalo	HHS	NY	Public	2	Yes		
SUNY, Binghamton	HHS	NY	Public	1			
SUNY, Buffalo State	HHS	NY	Public	1			
SUNY, EnvSci and Forestry	HHS	NY	Public	1			
SUNY, HSC at Brooklyn	HHS	NY	Public	1	Yes		
SUNY, Stony Brook	HHS	NY	Public	2	Yes		
SUNY, Syracuse HSC	HHS	NY	Public	1	Yes		
Syracuse U.	HHS	NY	Pvt	1			
Tennessee, U of (Health Sciences)	HHS	Mid-Atl	Public	2	Yes		
Tennessee, U of (Knoxville)	HHS	Mid-Atl	Public	2		Yes	Internal distrib. of F&A represents budgeted distrib. at 6/30/06. This is a combination of all Members; each distributes different perce
Texas A&M U. System	HHS	Dallas	Public	5		Yes	
Texas Tech U.	HHS	Dallas	Public	2			
Texas, U of (Austin)	HHS	Dallas	Public	4		Yes	
Texas, U of, M.D. Anderson Med Ctr	HHS	Dallas	Public	3	Yes		
Texas, U of, SW Medical Center	HHS	Dallas	Public	4	Yes		
Thomas Jefferson U.	HHS	Mid-Atl	Pvt	2	Yes		
Tufts U. (Health Sciences)	HHS	NY	Pvt	2	Yes		
Tulane U.	HHS	Dallas	Pvt	2	Yes		
Utah State U.	ONR		Public	2		Yes	
Utah, U of	HHS	SF	Public	3	Yes		Initially 70% of F&A goes to V.P. Research(VPR) for redistribution ar We return to both the Microbio. and Molec. Genetics and the Col. of I
Vanderbilt U.	HHS	Mid-Atl	Pvt	4	Yes		
Vermont, U of	HHS	NY	Public	2	Yes	Yes	
Virginia Commonwealth U.	HHS	Mid-Atl	Public	3	Yes		
Virginia Tech	ONR		Public	3		Yes	
Virginia, U of	HHS	Mid-Atl	Public	3	Yes		
Wake Forest U. Health Sciences	HHS	Mid-Atl	Pvt	3	Yes		
Washington State U	HHS	SF	Public	3		Yes	
Washington U. (St. Louis)	HHS	Dallas	Pvt	5	Yes		
Washington, U of (Seattle)	HHS	SF	Public	5	Yes		
Wayne State U.	HHS	Dallas	Public	3	Yes		Other academic is our three branch campuses. Res Inst or Arts & Sciences - 90% Dean, 10% Department Engineering Prelim. estimates: FY2006 financials are still under construction. Dean receives 30% and has the flexibility to share this with the depai
West Virginia U.	HHS	Mid-Atl	Public	2	Yes	Yes	
Wisconsin, U of	HHS	Dallas	Public	5	Yes	Yes	
Yale U.	HHS	NY	Pvt	5	Yes		

Internal Distribution of F&A Recovery Reports, Report 2
Internal Distribution of F&A Recovery by Institution
2005-2006 COGR Survey

Notes on Internal Distribution of F&A:	INSTITUTION NAME
<p>min activities. Campus research admin costs are distributed under the VP for Res. serves. (included in Other Admin).</p> <p>ntages based on internal decisions.</p> <p>pt nor PI level) were the grant resides.</p> <p>id 30% is shared between the Dean/Department/P.I./Research Center.</p> <p>Med. 30% of the FA recovery which those units generate on Research accts ONLY.</p> <p>eir offices, the departments and PI's at their discretion.</p> <p>tributions. Dept and Dean distributions vary by school, these percents are blended averages.</p> <p>Ctr is within dept distribution.</p> <p>g - 100% Department Med School - Federal to Dean, Non Federal Split 50% Dean and</p> <p>rtment and PI. If the Health Sciences Ctr generates beyond a predetermined</p>	
	SUNY, Binghamton
	SUNY, Buffalo State
	Texas A&M U. System
	Tufts U. (Health Sciences)
	Utah State U.
	Vermont, U of
	Virginia Tech
	Virginia, U of
	Washington State U
	Washington U. (St. Louis)
	West Virginia U.

Notes
continued
on following
pages

Internal Distribution of F&A Recovery Reports, Report 2
Internal Distribution of F&A Recovery by Institution
2005-2006 COGR Survey

INSTITUTION NAME	Notes on Internal Distribution of F&A:
Vermont, U of	All other units on campus receive 25% of the FA recovery from all sponsored projects (includes Instruction and Pub Srv as well)
Virginia, U of	PI's receive distributions from certain schools, but these are not distributed by central offices and vary by school. Other Acad i
Washington U. (St. Louis)	50% Department F&A recovery goes to the Dean at all other schools.
West Virginia U.	base then the split is reversed. (i.e. Central Adm - 30% and Dean - 70%)

Internal Distribution of F&A Recovery Reports, Report 2
Internal Distribution of F&A Recovery by Institution
2005-2006 COGR Survey

[illegible]