

# **Asset Pricing**

## **Chapter 13: Ljungqvist and Sargent**

Presented by Subash Khatri

Nov 13, 2013

# Introduction

First, we begin with an approach that -

- Uses only the Euler equations for a maximizing consumer
- Does not specify a complete general equilibrium model

Later, use complete market approach also

# Introduction

## Overview

- Asset Euler Equations
- Martingale Theories of Consumption and Stock Prices
- Equilibrium Asset Pricing
- Stock Prices without Bubbles

# Asset Euler Equations

The optimization problem of a single agent and trade in two assets.

The agent with wealth  $A_t > 0$  maximize expected lifetime utility,

$$E_t \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \beta^j u(c_{t+j}), \quad 0 < \beta < 1, \quad (13.2.1)$$

- $E_t$  is expectation conditional on information known at time t.
- $U(\cdot)$  is concave, strictly increasing, and twice continuously differentiable.

Agent transfers wealth over time through bond and equity holdings to finance future consumption.

# Asset Euler Equations

Define:

$R_t$  : risk-free real gross interest rate in one-period bonds

$L_t$  : gross payout on bond holdings between periods  $t$  and  $t+1$

$s_t$  : holding of equity shares between periods  $t$  and  $t+1$

$p_t$  : share price in period  $t$  net of that period's dividend

$y_t$  : stochastic dividend stream from equity at  $t$

The budget constraint is then,

$$c_t + R_t^{-1} L_t + p_t s_t \leq A_t, \quad (13.2.2)$$

and next period's wealth is,

$$A_{t+1} = L_t + (p_{t+1} + y_{t+1}) s_t. \quad (13.2.3)$$

# Asset Euler Equations

## A dynamic programming problem

state variables :  $A_t$  and current and past  $y$

controls :  $L_t$  and  $s_t$

At interior solutions, the Euler equations are

$$u'(c_t) R_t^{-1} = E_t \beta u'(c_{t+1}), \quad (13.2.4)$$

$$u'(c_t) p_t = E_t \beta (y_{t+1} + p_{t+1}) u'(c_{t+1}). \quad (13.2.5)$$

Optimal solution must also satisfy the transversality conditions

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} E_t \beta^k u'(c_{t+k}) R_{t+k}^{-1} L_{t+k} = 0, \quad (13.2.6)$$


$$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} E_t \beta^k u'(c_{t+k}) p_{t+k} s_{t+k} = 0. \quad (13.2.7)$$

That is, agent neither dies with positive asset holding nor can die accumulating debts.

# Martingale Theories of Consumption and Stock Prices

By making special assumptions about either  $R_t$  or  $u'(c)$  in Euler equations.

First, assume that risk-free interest rate is constant over time,  $R_t = R > 1$

**(13.2.4)**   $E_t u'(c_{t+1}) = (\beta R)^{-1} u'(c_t),$  (13.3.1)

Robert Hall's (1978) result: MU of consumption follows univariate linear 1<sup>st</sup> order Markov process.



No other variables in the information set help to predict (to Granger cause)  $u'(c_{t+1})$ , once lagged  $u'(c_t)$  has been included.

Hall tested for the absence of Granger causality from other variables to  $c_t$  for the special case of quadratic utility.

# Martingale Theories of Consumption and Stock Prices

- Example


With the CRRA utility function  $u(c_t) = \frac{c_t^{1-\gamma}}{1-\gamma}$ , equation (13.3.1) becomes

$$(\beta R)^{-1} = E_t \left( \frac{c_{t+1}}{c_t} \right)^{-\gamma}$$



# Martingale Theories of Consumption and Stock Prices

Efficient Stock Markets : Price of a stock follows a martingale process.

**(13.2.5)**  
$$E_t \beta (y_{t+1} + p_{t+1}) \frac{u'(c_{t+1})}{u'(c_t)} = p_t$$

Using covariance formula,

$$\beta E_t (y_{t+1} + p_{t+1}) E_t \frac{u'(c_{t+1})}{u'(c_t)} + \beta \text{cov}_t \left[ (y_{t+1} + p_{t+1}), \frac{u'(c_{t+1})}{u'(c_t)} \right] = p_t. \quad (13.3.2)$$

To obtain a martingale theory of stock prices, need to assume

i)  $E_t u'(c_{t+1})/u'(c_t)$  is a constant.

ii)  $\text{cov}_t \left[ (y_{t+1} + p_{t+1}), \frac{u'(c_{t+1})}{u'(c_t)} \right] = 0$

# Martingale Theories of Consumption and Stock Prices

- very restrictive conditions and hold under very special circumstances, e.g. **risk neutral agent** i.e.  $u(c_t)$  is linear in  $c_t$  so that  $u'(c_t)$  is independent of  $c_t$

**(13.2.5)**   $E_t \beta (y_{t+1} + p_{t+1}) = p_t. \quad (13.3.3)$

i.e. adjusted for dividends and discounting, the share price follows a 1<sup>st</sup> order univariate Markov process and no other variables Granger causes the share price.

The stochastic difference equation (13.3.3) has the class of solutions

$$p_t = E_t \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \beta^j y_{t+j} + \xi_t \left( \frac{1}{\beta} \right)^t, \quad (13.3.4)$$

Share price  $p_t$  is the sum of discounted expected future dividends and a bubble term unrelated to any fundamentals.

# Equilibrium Asset Pricing

Simple representative agent endowment economy: **Lucas Asset Pricing Model**

- a large number of identical agents
- preferences : (13.2.1)
- only durable good: a set of identical “trees” one for each person
  - dividends (fruits) at the beginning of  $t$ :  $y_t$
  - fruit is not storable; tree is perfectly durable
  - each agent starts life at time zero with one tree

All agents maximize **13.2.1** subject to budget constraints **13.2.2** and **13.2.3** and transversality conditions.

# Equilibrium Asset Pricing

In equilibrium, asset prices clear the markets i.e.,

- $\sum$  bond holdings of all agents = 0,
- total stock = aggregate number of shares

Identical agents in terms of preferences and endowments: a representative agent model

Steps:

- i. Given preferences, technology and endowments, solve for equilibrium intertemporal consumption allocation

Planner problem:

$$\text{maximize } E_0 \sum_{t=0}^{\infty} \beta^t u(c_t) \text{ subject to } c_t \leq y_t$$

Solution:  $c_t = y_t$

# Equilibrium Asset Pricing

- ii. Set up a competitive market for assets, permit agents to buy and sell at equilibrium asset prices subject to constraints, and find an agent's Euler equations

*Euler equations: 13.2.4 and 13.2.5*

- iii. Equate consumption in Euler equation to equilibrium consumption in planner problem, the risk-free interest rate and the share price are given by

$$u'(y_t) R_t^{-1} = E_t \beta u'(y_{t+1}), \quad (13.5.1)$$

$$u'(y_t) p_t = E_t \beta (y_{t+1} + p_{t+1}) u'(y_{t+1}). \quad (13.5.2)$$

# Stock Prices without Bubbles

Using recursions and LIE,  $E_t E_{t+1}(\cdot) = E_t(\cdot)$  on equation (13.5.2), the expression for the equilibrium share price is

$$u'(y_t) p_t = E_t \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \beta^j u'(y_{t+j}) y_{t+j} + E_t \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \beta^k u'(y_{t+k}) p_{t+k}. \quad (13.6.1)$$

Market clearing condition:

- agents must be willing to hold their endowments of trees forever

  $E_t \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \beta^k u'(y_{t+k}) p_{t+k} = 0$

so that,  $u'(y_t) p_t = E_t \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \beta^j u'(y_{t+j}) y_{t+j}$

[MU gain of selling shares = MU loss of holding the asset forever and consuming the future stream of dividends]

# Stock Prices without Bubbles

- i.  $u'(y_t) P_t > E_t \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \beta^j u'(y_{t+j}) y_{t+j}$ , agents would like to sell some of their shares  $\rightarrow P_t$  falls
- ii.  $u'(y_t) P_t < E_t \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \beta^j u'(y_{t+j}) y_{t+j}$ , agents would like to purchase more share  $\rightarrow P_t$  rises

Thus, the equilibrium price must satisfy

$$P_t = E_t \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \beta^j \frac{u'(y_{t+j})}{u'(y_t)} y_{t+j}, \quad (13.6.2)$$

i.e., the share price is the sum of expected discounted stream of dividends but with time-varying and stochastic discount rates.

# Computing Asset Pricing

## Example 1: Logarithmic preference

$$u(c_t) = \ln c_t$$

Then equation (13.6.2) becomes

$$p_t = \frac{\beta}{1 - \beta} y_t. \quad (13.7.1)$$

- Equation (13.7.1) is asset-pricing function, which maps the state of the economy at  $t$ ,  $y_t$ , into the price of a Lucas tree at  $t$ .

## Example 2: Asset Pricing with growth

Consider a Lucas tree in a pure endowment economy with  $c_t = d_t$  and  $d_{t+1} = \lambda_{t+1} d_t$ , where  $\lambda_t$  is Markov with transition matrix  $P$ .



# Computing Asset Pricing

For the CRRA utility  $u(c) = c^{1-\gamma}/(1-\gamma)$ , the (ex-dividend) price of the Lucas tree,  $p_t$ , satisfies,

$$p_t = E_t \left[ \beta \left( \frac{c_{t+1}}{c_t} \right)^{-\gamma} (p_{t+1} + d_{t+1}) \right]$$

Dividing by  $d_t$  and rearranging,

$$\frac{p_t}{d_t} = E_t \left[ \beta (\lambda_{t+1})^{1-\gamma} \left( \frac{p_{t+1}}{d_{t+1}} + 1 \right) \right]$$

Questions?  
Comments